


THE



PHOENIX LIBERATOR

APRIL 28, 1992 VOLUME 19, #2

Prepare For An E.T. Farce

4/17/92 #1 HATONN

I suppose I may as well hop into the fray as you are readied to go to war with outer-space "aliens". But how will you KNOW? How in the world will you know even, that the aliens have landed or are even speaking with you?

Quote: From the *Daily London Telegraph* of London (sent to me a couple of days ago with no dateline): *If signs of life on other planets are detected, the news will not reach the mass of mankind UNTIL a complicated process of verification and approval is thoroughly exhausted. Under a set of guidelines drawn up by the world's scientists, the news must pass through a secret and Byzantine notification process before being announced BY THE UNITED NATIONS. The Declaration of Principles Concerning Activities Following the Detection of Extra-Terrestrial Intelligence (DPCAFDETI) was thrashed out by the International Academy of Astronautics (IAA) in Buenos Aires (to which representatives came from all the Security Council nations).*

The first thing the sighter or listener must do is to record the alien, then contact other scientists worldwide to verify them.

Then follows an approach to the International Astronomical Union (IAU), which will send out an official

notification through the Central Bureau for Astronomical Telegrams (CBAT).

After that, the Secretary General of the United Nations, the Institute for Space Law, and the International Telecommunications Union will also be told. The latter will ensure that the alien signal or presence is cleared of all earthly radio traffic so it comes over loud and clear. Only then will the rest of the human race be privy to the news that ET's may, after all, exist.

Sorry friends, go ahead and laugh but this is an OFFICIAL PROCEDURE ORDER APPROVED BY THE UNITED NATIONS AND NOW IN FULL FORCE AND AUTHORITY. Is anybody in-there??

Along other "confirming lines": From KANSAS CITY, KANS.: "Smoke has stopped billowing from an underground storage cave after workers pumped 228 TONS of carbon dioxide into it to extinguish a smoldering fire.

Authorities said Sunday that it would be several days before they knew whether the fire was out.

The fire began Dec. 29 in one of the many caves under an industrial section of Kansas City that are used to store goods described by fire officials as 'ANYTHING YOU CAN FIND IN A GROCERY STORE.'

FIRE OFFICIALS DON'T KNOW

HOW THE FIRE BEGAN OR HOW FAR IT SPREAD. [NOR WHY THE CAUSE FOR STORAGE OR WHO OR WHY THE CITY-FATHERS HAVE SECRETLY STORED ALL THE INVENTORY.]

The next, before we move back to Clandestine Forces, had better stir all of you into attention. I have written about this before but you ones seem to have the most difficulty with the fact that there might be replicas and DNA duplicates. I remind you--if you can isolate a DNA blueprint of ANY-

THING, you can reproduce it and have been able to do so for over half a century.

The way to break the news to the public is through items such as this article which we will reprint here regarding identification of "unknown soldiers". The information should tell you two things right off the top: that the information is valid and such reproduction and identification are possible and, secondly, **that your nations plan much bigger and more sophisticated mass warfare. Good luck!**

U.S. Starts Genetic ID Files For Military

4/17/92 #1 HATONN

The Associated Press: Washington--A new genetic-identification system for the military may mean there will be no more American "unknown soldier" casualties in future wars.

The Department of Defense has authorized the creation of a repository of biological samples, taken from blood and oral swabs of all military personnel, that could be used for identification.

Army Maj. Victor Weedn, chief of the Armed Forces Institute of pathology, said Friday that the samples will be placed on identification cards that will be stored in vacuum-sealed packages and then frozen.

Cells in the blood and oral-swab samples will contain the DNA pattern that is unique to each person. DNA, or deoxyribonucleic acid, contains a person's genetic code and is present in each body cell.

By comparing the DNA from unidentified combat dead to the DNA from samples in the repository, the military should be able to identify the casualties.

The system also could be used to identify the dead in mass disasters, such as airplane crashes, where only partial remains are recovered.

"Identification of remains has long been a priority for the U.S. military," Weedn said in a statement.

"The establishment of this repository may very well mean that we will no longer have an unknown soldier from future battle casualties."

DNA testing of the stored specimens will be conducted only for specific cases, and the genetic information will be treated with confidentiality, Weedn said.

He said DNA identification was used in Operation Desert Storm, but the system could have been more use-

Please see DNA, next page

BULK MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
TEHACHAPI CA
PERMIT NO. 20

Introduction To Hatonn

1/13/92 #1 HATONN

I am Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn, *Commander in Chief, Earth Project Transition, Pleiades Sector Flight Command, Intergalactic Federation Fleet*. You may call me Hatonn. **I am come in service unto the ONE GOD of Lighted Creation, and as "Host" unto His people who will be brought into His places long prepared for you. My own "Captain" is Sananda, the Christed Being you have called by many names--now one totally with Creator/Creation. [Sananda: simply meaning of/with God]. We are not "mystical" nor even "mysterious" aliens. We are the "Hosts" sent in preparation for His return, bringers of Truth according to His promise and to reveal the lies foisted upon you to claim your souls for the physical evil Elite controllers. We come in total love and without intent of force, coercion or judging. "Judging" is a state of evaluation of self in the presence of Creator in which actions in the physical experience are evaluated.**

The information within the documents revealing Truth, THE PHOENIX JOURNALS and LIBERATOR, are the outlays of lies and hidden facts for which you can find confirmation. If you don't know the problems and the source of the problems, you can have no solutions.

I do not "channel"; I transmit on a frequency attuned to my "receiver" who is simply a translator of the pulses into English. This is true of all receivers who receive from any of this Command come forth with God for this transition of species and planet.

The receivers mostly have no reference for material given until after the giving and then, only for their own confirmation and yours. **My petition is that you pay no attention to this resource if it is confusing and uncertain unto you--LET TRUTH STAND ALONE IN ITS PRESENTATION--THE REST WILL FOLLOW IN PROPER SEQUENCE.**

Japanese Economic Debacle

4/17/92 #1 HATONN

I am, however, hounded by inquiries regarding the Japanese Economic debacle and when and how will it recover. Let me point out that I spoke at great length about the reason for the nosedive and the Real Estate based overpricing and extravagant false base for real estate in Japan--as in California, U.S.A. I used these comparisons for a very major reason--what happens to this "real estate" when the earthquakes hit--and they will, manmade or natural--soon because both areas are past limitations of major shakers any way the geologists can slice it.

Gary North has just released his *Remnant Review* for April and a copy has been graciously forwarded to us for review. It is very well done and says it all as to Japan's immediate "shaky" circumstances. I shall give him honor and then we shall present a bit of it for your information.

Remember that Gary North and ones like Arthur Anderson have been struggling to get your attention for a quarter of a century in hopes of just keeping you alive. We wonder sometimes why we bother for it only seems important and reasonable to us who

DNA, cont. from previous page

ful if there had been a repository of file information on all military personnel.

The military estimates that it will take about five years for specimens from all active-duty personnel to be placed into the repository. [H: Forget this time garbage--there is a file on every man, woman and child and ALL GOVERNMENT AND MILITARY PERSONNEL.]

Two cards with specimens will be created for each service member. In addition, each card will include a fingerprint, a signature and a bar code [H: Is everybody still with me?] to assure positive identification. One card will be kept with medical records and the other sealed and frozen.

Collection for the system will cost about \$3 each, and long-term storage will cost an additional \$2 to \$3. [H: Well, I suppose that is pretty reasonable costwise if it costs \$600 for each military toilet seat!]

I don't believe I need make further comment on the above.

already know the security of God and safety of the Hosts. Ah but 'tis our mission and so be it.

THE COLLAPSE OF TOKYO'S STOCK MARKET IN 1992

The article begins with: *"I cannot possibly continue to live in Tokyo when I think about how terrible it will be when an earthquake of an intensity over five (Japanese Meteorological Agency Scale, or JMS) hits Tokyo."* So wrote Kozo Mimura, formerly the head of the earthquake section of the Japanese Meteorological Agency. In 1974 he moved out of Tokyo to a distant region. Like so many people who see what is coming but move too soon, he should have bought more Tokyo property. He died before the quake hit. He was not worried about another Great Kanto Quake: JMS-7.5 to 8. He was worried about a "minor" Tokai quake: JMS-5 in Tokyo. A Tokai quake is considered by some Japanese seismologists to be imminent, but it will hit farther away from Tokyo, in the Suruga Bay area: 90-120 miles. This would probably not be devastating to Tokyo, although there would be medium damage--the kind that worried Kimura.

The next expected quake, in the Odawara region, in the Sagami Bay area, will have its epicenter about 40 miles from the outskirts of the Tokyo region, which continues north for another 30 miles (total population: 30 million). Odawara is the region which suffers major quakes within five to ten years after the eruption of the Mihara volcano. It erupted in 1986. Prof. Masaaki Komura of Ryuku University expects a major quake in 1993 if not before. As with every other science, rival seismologists disagree. They think it could be later in the decade. This quake would produce medium damage in Tokyo--the kind that worried Kimura.

The third type is the chokka-gata (directly below) quake. One hit Tokyo in 1988, but so far below that it caused only minor damage. [H: This one, however, caused great displacement of the Pacific Plate at a great depth but completely encircling the "Ring of Fire".] Japanese seismologists think there will be more of these, closer to the surface. One of these could be in the Richter 6-7 range. The

last one this large hit in 1922--the year before the Great Kanto Quake that destroyed the city. One seismologist dates this for early in the next decade but no one knows and with current activity the time estimates are constantly changed to closer timing expectations.

This killer quake could come this year or a decade after a chokka-gata quake. [H: Or, any minute for no one knows unless a manmade quake is in the planning which is always a most probable happening to precipitate desired effects on the economy and population.] *All we know is that IT IS COMING, and sixty seconds after it hits, the world's highest priced real estate will no longer be the highest priced.* One thing is certain: the never-ending growth of the Japanese economy will be detoured that day, possibly for years. (See Peter Hadfield, SIXTY SECONDS THAT WILL CHANGE THE WORLD, London: Sedgwick & Jackson, 1991.) In any case, the way the Japanese economy looks today, it may already have been detoured.

THE END OF THE ILLUSION: STOCK COLLAPSE

In 1987, the Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation, once a government-owned monopoly, had a capitalized value of \$350 billion: the most valuable company on earth. The government owned most of the shares. Then the government started selling off its shares: from 100% ownership to 65%. Today, N.T.T.'s capitalized value is in the \$75 billion range: a fall of 80%. The problem facing N.T.T.'s share price also faces the entire Japanese stock market. When the bulk of a corporation's stock is held off the market, the company's capitalized value is an illusion, that is, the number of shares (large) times the price of a handful of actually traded shares. When the government started selling its shares, down came the illusion.

The Japanese stock market is a very narrow market in which only about 30% of most corporate shares are actually traded. The bulk of the shares are owned by banks and other Japanese corporations. Bank ownership of shares is illegal in the U.S., but

Please see JAPAN, next page

JAPAN, cont. from previous page

it is a way of life in Japan. That way of life is now being threatened by the Japanese economic slowdown, the real estate slump, and the 1993 deadline for bank capitalization: 8% of assets. The banks are in trouble when the Nikkei falls below 20,000. They will be in big trouble if it goes below 18,000. The banks will then have to sell shares to re-capitalize. To whom? At what price?

The whole world is now "held captive" to the Japanese strategy of economic growth. That strategy has relied on an export surplus, meaning Japanese investment abroad. The export surplus required Japanese investors to lend the money needed by those markets that were buying the goods. A foreign trade surplus means a net outflow of funds from Japan, just as a foreign trade deficit means a net inflow of funds to Japan's debtors. The Japanese got the U.S. to buy their goods in exchange for their willingness to buy your T-bills, corporate bonds, stocks, and real estate.

EXPORT QUALITY MIS-LEADING

Overall, exports don't account for too much in Japan, probably in the 15% to 17% range. But they must export to buy oil, coal, and iron ore. The Japanese goods you see in the West are top-quality goods, MITI-approved goods, goods which are geared to sell in international markets that are very competitive with relatively little regulation. Most of the Japanese economy is not equally efficient. The myth of this tremendous Japanese efficiency has spread to the West because what you have seen are the top-quality goods. But that segment of the economy is not representative, or at least not equally representative, of that whole economy.

Japanese politicians understood by 1950 that international market standards had to control production in the growth sector of their economy. They recognized this; they didn't fight it. Manufacturers also realized they needed to penetrate foreign markets to gain access to foreign buyers and to gain access to foreign currencies. So, their primary goal was always market share, not immediate short-term profit. They wanted to crack foreign markets almost as a military campaign, and that is exactly what they did with high quality goods priced very competitively. This gets even more interesting in concept as we stumble along

in the line of economic growth and fall.

They got their reputation for productivity based on their exports reputation. MITI, a government bureaucratic agency, got the credit, but Japanese housewives provided the credit, that is, the cash. The Japanese housewife throughout most of the period prior to the mid-1980's, or at least through 1980, saved an incredible 23% of the household budget and invested it in very low-returning investments which provided this enormous pool of capital for investing in capital equipment and trading to increase the output of those goods. The Japanese housewife was the secret and open evidence of the Japanese boom. There was also a flooding of the markets with counterfeit bills produced from the U.S. currency plates taken from Germany as the war was closing (WW-II). Please see SKELTONS IN THE CLOSET, a PHOENIX JOURNAL. Either way, there were massive amounts of money flowing. What will happen now to the Japanese economy is even more massive than the S&L and bank crises in the U.S. because of this individual investment and savings planning--ALL WILL BE TOTALLY WIPED OUT BY THE REAL ESTATE LEVERAGERS. THE TRADE SURPLUS WAS GENERATED AS A RESULT OF THIS HUGE CAPITAL INVESTMENT. Well, as with all good things, the boom has to end as the prices go up and modern greed takes over along with advertising for luxury and affluence--the little housewife stopped saving at these older, frantic rates and began spending, spending and more spending. Savings rates are declining, sharply and steadily.

The economic power in Japan has moved from MITI, the organization controlling exports and goods, to the Ministry of Finance, which is now in control of what is done with the basic money supply, the terms of credit, and the banking structure. The Ministry of Finance is all-powerful in Japan and is, of course, controlled through the Trilateral Commission and back through to the Committee of 300.

All the better for the Committee and worse for the people of Japan, the Ministry of Finance has no experience and no training--and no experience in international markets--(all the better to control you). Its bureaucrats were trained under a system which was a kind of feudal system, a government-controlled system. There is no way to have prepared for this gigantic trans-

fer of responsibility so this inefficient, bureaucratic system cannot be what the operators believe it to be--different from other nations. It is beginning to seep into the consciousness that Japan was, after all, not different and it is now obvious that the system as structured cannot sustain itself--it must fall.

JAPAN'S DEBT

I apologize to you who were comparing this writing with that of Gary North for I only utilized the earliest portion for I do not conclude that which is drawn by Gary. This is a problem I confront with every writer on your planet thus far. And, if conclusions are incorrect so, too, will actions be incorrect.

Let us speak of the debt next. The extent of the debt in Japan is simply not perceived. Back in 1979, the total debt in Japan, of government and households and non-financial institutions, was about \$3.5 trillion. BY 1989, 10 YEARS LATER, IT HAD RISEN TO \$8.5 trillion, and this compares with your country at probably \$11 trillion. But look again for Japan has half the number of people as you.

The private sector debt is really astounding. In the U.S. in late 1989, approximately 185% of your GNP was private debt, the equivalent of about 2 years worth of production. In Japan in the same period, it was a 277% ratio of debt to gross national product--much, much higher: almost 3 years' worth of production.

Debt forces companies to press for growth. The entire economy assumes that there will be growth. What happens when growth slows? That will depend. One thing it will depend on is the banking system. To understand Japan, you need to understand the Japanese banking system. This will be just a bit of a refresher course which I shall effort to refrain from boredom in reproducing. We will look at another segment of society and economic indulgence separate from the Real Estate projections as given before--it requires the "whole" to see the picture.

Japanese banks for decades have controlled access to the funds and the savings pool of the country. Non-bank banks, consumer finance companies, and leasing companies have had to borrow from the banks. There were no money market funds for corporations until very late in the game, beginning in the mid-1980's. So, the

banks indirectly controlled both the stock market and real estate.

Then, from 1989 to 1991, interest rates were freed up by the new director of the Ministry of Finance, a decision backed up by the banks in Japan. Everything changed. It changed in a way that almost no one outside of Japan, and even in Japan, predicted accurately. Arkyo Mikuni is the president of the only independent credit rating agency in Japan. Here is what he said in 1991 regarding Japanese corporations:

"These companies expanded domestic and overseas capacity during the stock market boom in the belief that the cost of funds was negligible or even negative. Their earnings are therefore more susceptible than ever to a decline in demand. And demand looks more likely to decline as the persistent trade surplus produces a higher yen. My own projection is that the liabilities of corporate bankruptcies will rise from \$15 billion in 1990 to \$60 billion in 1991 to \$100 billion in each of the following years."

What they have had in Japan until recently has been massive overconfidence. The overconfidence has now led them into a desperate situation. They have had only one major recession since 1970, in 1974. The oil shock produced it deliberately--a unique event. The result was a myth: "Japan is different, beyond the normal setbacks of economics, beyond the setbacks of interest rate shifts." They believed that they had the ability to defeat all of their competitors. They had absolute faith in the export sector. **They always fall back to the export sector when they get in trouble. This surplus required a flow of funds out of Japan, one-third minimum to North America.** You must understand something at this point--IF YOU DO NOT KNOW YOUR ENEMY WHO PULLS THE PUPPET STRINGS YOU CANNOT STEM THE FLOW OF THE DESTINY IN STORE FOR YOU BY THOSE CONTROLLERS.

From 1984 onward, Japan took on new responsibilities when they became the world's banker and the "big boy bankers" grinned from ear to ear as they were sucked into the trap. They had become the major source of funds lent to the international markets. For as long as that surplus could go on, they could continue to be the world's bankers--there is no way

Please see JAPAN, next page

JAPAN, cont. from previous page

around it when you study it, they became the dominant banking force. But all myths have a possible downside.

There is this one final myth, that the real estate boom is somehow perpetual: **the myth of the land** it is called. Within the lifetimes of elderly farmers who are still on that land, Japan was a semi-feudal and military society. Their defeat in World War II transformed this feudal thinking in many ways, but it never broke people's faith in land. As of 1989, Japan's

land, which is about 1/25th of the United States, was four times more valuable than all the land in the U.S. Yet most of their land is not arable and not usable either for agriculture or for economic purposes. This is what is the illusion: It is worth a lot so long as: 1. no one tries to sell it; and, 2. earthquakes are very mild indeed.

FIXED AND RIGGED MARKETS

You are simply going to have to face the facts as presented to you--the

world is ALL in trouble and it is all through and because of the One World Order Bankers who have deceived the people of the world. Just as your economy, banks, S&L's, etc., in America are rigged against you--the people, so, too, is it in all parts of the world for all are under control of the ONE.

What you have had in Japan has been a whole series of rigged markets, rigged especially against the Japanese consumer. The consumer was expected to save, not spend. And for 40 years, this is exactly what he did. From 1945 to 1985, the consumer was stuck in Japan. There were controls on retail sales. Small stores--mom and pop stores, basically--can still keep supermarkets or any large, mass sales company from coming into their communities. There are no or very few Wal-Mart type of operations in Japan because the small retailers control the zoning system. It takes sometimes 10 years to get a supermarket built in Japan because of the resistance of these little stores.

But more importantly, a whole generation of brainwashing had to be accomplished to train the people to be breaking away from their old ideas and move into the "I want and I will have--NOW--mentality". With that mentality comes the ability to offer credit and get everyone owing everything they have or will ever have--to that Banker's store.

There are some 1.6 million shops in Japan compared with 1.5 million in the U.S., but half the population. These little shops are not competitive shops; in many cases, they are company-affiliated shops. You can buy only those products in those shops that the manufacturer that is allied to the local retail shop allows the local retailer to sell. It is a nation of Radio-Shack-type stores. The new Toys-R-Us is extremely popular, attracting tens of thousands of customers every day.

The major point is that the savings mentality is ended. This calls a halt to Japan, Incorporated's key strategy. They deregulated interest rates in 1985 but, guess what, in order to suck in the people who had no banking knowledge whatsoever, the banks DID NOT RAISE INTEREST RATES to their borrowers. They did not want to alienate their borrowers, the corporations, which they owned and wanted to make sure that the borrowers would be totally and finally trapped. An interesting thing happens in Japan, however, so what they did to get the

money to lend was to tap the rising capital value of their own assets, mainly stocks that they held, in order to make more loans--to create more fiat money out of thin air. Sound familiar? They created those loans on the basis of rising capital values, that is, paper stock market profits in bank portfolios. But that game has abruptly ended.

In the old days, if they had to increase their capital reserves, they had to sell shares in order to gain profits. In 1989, about 40% of the profits of some of the banks were based on the sale of portfolio shares. But then, because of the peculiar traditions in Japan, they had to buy the shares back at the new price. Japanese banks have these arrangements with the associated borrowers. These arrangements mean that corporations get into alliances with certain banks, and the banks never sell the shares of those corporations to the general public. It is a very close-knit arrangement. So, they wind up having to pay taxes ON the capital gains, and they locked in the higher book value of the shares. This was okay as long as they did not have a stock market slump--but they now have the drop. What is to prevent the whole stock market from becoming a complete disaster?

NEW RULES/DOWN SPIRAL

Japanese banks must now meet new international banking capital requirements. They are now hard-pressed to do this for this reason: the stock market has collapsed. The banks are allowed to carry 45% of the unrealized capital gain value of the shares that they own. 45% of that could be counted against the asset requirements. The value of the assets began to plummet because of the collapse of the stock market.

So what happens? Scandals--one after another as rigged operations emerge. One after another, scandal surfaces and one after another political figures fall. Billions and billions of dollars in yen have been absconded with or have been put in devastatingly stupid or rigged investments. It is almost like the savings and loan crisis in the U.S. There is a loss of confidence by the investing public, and this loss of confidence accelerates daily.

Bank shares account for around a quarter of the Topix Index, which is like the Standard and Poor's 500. If bank shares head down, down goes the index. Investors panic and sell shares

Please see JAPAN, next page

PHOENIX JOURNALS

To Order Contact
America West
800-729-4131

Buy 4 books or more
and receive a 10%
discount

TANGLED WEBS "GOTCHA" - - VOLUME I

\$10.00... By Hatonn

Hatonn reveals the tangled webs of deceit woven throughout all religions. **Topics include:** The Holy War - Origins of the Christian doctrine - Desecration of Judaism - The role of British Freemasonry - New Age Deception and what is meant by the ONE WORLD CHURCH. Also included are updates on current world events.

TANGLED WEBS - "GOTCHA" - - AGAIN - - VOLUME II

\$10.00...By Hatonn

Hatonn presents the history and operations of the CIA, also called the "Cult of Intelligence", since 1952, as presented by two former insiders (IMA and A) who remain anonymous for their protection. **Related Topics:** Oliver Stone and JFK - Psychological warfare - Espionage and Counterespionage - Bush and CIA connection - National Security Act.

THE MOTHER OF ALL WEBS, TANGLED WEBS - - VOL. III

\$10.00...By Hatonn

Hatonn outlines the history of worldwide CIA operations, including the "ideals" adopted and enforced by CIA leaders and operatives, their methods of influence in world affairs and how they escape scrutiny by the American people. **Some Topics:** CIA operatives McCone, McNamara, Helms and Dulles - The Eaton Study - Successes and Failures of CIA operations - Presidential interest in CIA - Henry Kissinger - George Bush, Zapata Offshore Oil Company and the CIA - Tavistock Institute.

TANGLED WEBS, LOOSEN THE KNOTS AND TANGLES

JUST
RELEASED

VOLUME IV

\$10.00...By Hatonn

Hatonn exposes the history of worldwide CIA covert operations, referred to as "Clandestine Services", beginning in the early 1950's through the 1970's as presented by two former insiders. **Other Topics:** CIA involvement with Cuban exile groups and Bay of Pigs veterans - Some of the CIA "Proprietary" Organizations and Corporations. Plus current events.

FORCES OF THE ENEMY WITHIN

TANGLED WEBS - - VOL. V

\$10.00...By Hatonn/Aton

Hatonn unveils our enemies upon the planet. This volume continues with the exposure of CIA evil deeds and HOW the leaders of this elite organization have controlled and manipulated the internal socioeconomic and political affairs of the U.S.A. and many other countries. **Some Topics:** John Stockwell, *Former Chief CIA Angola Task Force* - Soviet Bases in the U.S. - The Clandestine Mentality: Agent selection and training, headquarters, intelligence, policies and fringe benefits - William Colby - Kissinger and United Nations - Political History of George Bush - Beijing and Taipei, "Two China's" policy - Nixon-era China card - Earth Grid Experiments - CIA release of Kennedy Murder documents - The Quiet War - CIA "moral" infringements: Prostitutes, Black Marketeers, Mafia, drug trafficking - Pakistan-India War - CIA internal protest.

JAPAN, cont. from previous page

when the index drops. The capital value of the banks falls, so they have to sell more shares. **The upward spiral becomes a downward spiral.**

Japanese life insurance companies are the other great source of funding, and they now face a policy redemption crisis because they have been offering higher rates of return for years than the banks did. Now, with bank deregulation, the banks are at last offering higher rates of return. Finally, the money is shifting out of life insurance and into the banks. Where do the insurance companies get the cash to redeem the policies? From selling stocks in their portfolios.

Today, life insurance companies are actually experiencing negative cash flow: more redemptions than sale of new policies and interest returns or capital returns from the policies. This gigantic, almost rickety, life insurance system cannot compete with the banks any longer, yet they have made these tremendous promises to savers in a country with a tiny government social security system. Now what?

There are virtually no stock market dividends. In 1955 the dividend yield was about 8.6%, a very high dividend yield in '55. In 1970 it fell to 4%; in 1984, it was 2%. In 1989, the dividends averaged one-half of one percent. If you bought a share, you could not get your money back from dividends. It had to be capital gains. But the banks bought them. They bought and they bought and they bought. They are not required to report non-performing loans. This rule will end in 1993. So, they have capital listed on which the dividends are very, very low, and they have massive loans to real estate-burdened corporations, where they're not even required to report non-performance of these loans. **You therefore now have most of the 10 largest banks in the world sitting at the edge of a total disaster!!**

REAL ESTATE

But now--back to the REAL killer! the stupid real estate boom. It was based on easy money inflation policies, especially after 1985 and here I totally agree with Mr. North's presentation. Real estate in Tokyo and Osaka from 1985 to 1989 basically tripled--an incredible increase. A Tokyo home in 1990 cost 10 times the average worker's income, which made it essentially impossible for workers to ever hope to buy homes. Only those

who got in earlier are going to be able to own a home, unless there is a collapse of prices and this is already beginning. Unfortunately, in total depression and collapse so goes the income.

An example of the insanity of the Tokyo land boom follows: One of the most prestigious things you can own in Tokyo is a golf course club membership. The most prestigious club is the Kogani Country Club. In 1986, its entry fee was \$769,000. That was when things were cheap. By late 1989, its entry fee was a mere \$3 million. But in November, 1991, it was down to a mere \$2 million. Then, to play a round of golf at the better clubs, 18 holes, you must pay \$3,000 to \$4,000. This is after you have paid your membership fee. This is why Japanese developers went to Hawaii, bought up tracts of land, turned them into golf courses, and sold memberships. Golfers charter a jet to fly them in from Japan to Hawaii. They play a week-end of golf, then fly back. This is far cheaper than playing in Tokyo. But--what do you think is now going to happen to all those investments as there is no one to utilize them?

Here is another example of the insanity that has taken place. If you take land prices and divide them by the gross national product, which is a pretty good indication of whether land is appreciating or depreciating in terms of the general performance of the economy, here is what you find. In the United States from 1970 to 1988, the ratio was flat. Taking the nation as a whole, it was under a factor of 1, in fact, well under a factor of 1. That is, the U.S. GNP was in any given year greater than the nation's total land value.

Japan was different. In 1970, the ratio was 2:1; in other words, the land value was 2 times the gross national product. By 1973, it was 3:1. The boom was on. Then, because of the 1974 recession, there was a setback; it went back to 2:1. Not until 1985 did it reach 3:1; in other words, 3 times the gross national product. In 1986 it was 4:1; 1988 it was 5:1! This is a fantastic increase. The greatest insanity of all, of course, was Tokyo. If you look from 1977 to 1990, GNP in Japan rose almost 2 to 1, about 1.85 times. Tokyo land prices during the same period of time, 13 years, multiplied 20 to 1. This could NOT go on. It ended in 1990.

So, for the economy? The Japanese are sitting at the edge of a monumental collapse which also means that

the U.S. is sitting on the same edge--across the mutual Sea of Peace. This is because of 1993's bank capital requirements--which you already have in place and has devastated the Bank and S&L industry for there is nowhere to get that kind of funding and, as the plans have been carefully laid--so shall all the industry fail save the ones intended to make you hostage.

BIG 10 BANKS SETUP FOR A CRASH

The big 10 Tokyo city banks, the biggest banks in the world, have 75% of their loans with small to medium-size businesses, which is reasonable. But these businesses were buying real estate. Also, 11% of the banks' loans were to what is known as the **non-bank banks**, the finance companies, and so forth. But many of their loans

went out for property loans--just as in the good old U.S.A., along with "junk" bonds, etc. So, the estimate by March of 1990 was that something like 23% of the loans of these very large banks, the largest banks in the world, were backed by property. That is, the loans are **illiquid**. In 1984, only 16% had been backed by property.

Then there are the long-term credit banks. They are more or less like your savings and loans. About 47% of their loans by 1990 were in real estate. The **trust banks** had 52% in real estate. Then there are what is known as the **Shinkin banks**, 131 of them, which are essentially like credit unions. They are regional, and they make heavy real estate loans. This made certain that the whole country had this rise of land value, not just Tokyo, although Tokyo was the most insane. Therefore,

Please see JAPAN, next page

NEW RELEASE PRE-FLIGHT

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PHOENIX, VOL. I

By Jesus Sananda, Lord Michael & St. Germain...\$10.00

This JOURNAL continues the series of spiritual instructions for Earth Humans transmitted by the Master Teacher and Spiritual Guardian of Earth, Jesus Sananda, with contributions by Archangel Michael and St. Germain. Some Topics: Testing - The Creation - The Healing Power of Forgiveness - Friendship and the Path to Oneness - The Power of Unity - "New Age" Nonsense - Understanding "Restless" Feelings - Exploring the Root Cause of Insecurity - Knowing Your Intent - Discernment, Insight and Wisdom.

PHOENIX OPERATOR/ OWNER MANUAL

By Sananda, Lord Michael, St. Germain...\$10.00

****OPERATOR/OWNER
MANUAL ON TAPE
\$20.00...(FOUR TAPES)**

This JOURNAL contains instructions and rules to live by which will keep you firmly on the lighted path of God. The Cosmic Brothers explain that by understanding and living by God's Laws of Balance, you will obtain your ticket for graduation off of this planetary dimension. This manual presents the Eighteen Cosmic Laws of Balance of God including The Highest Law of The Creation, How to Recognize the Anti-Christ Within, Understanding Personal Responsibility and the Twelve Deadly Sins (Errors).

SPIRITUAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EARTH HUMANS BY THE MASTER TEACHER SANANDA

Receive 15% discount when purchasing all four books

\$34.00 for books - \$42.50 for 3 books and tape

Shipping - UPS 6.75 - Bookrate \$5.50

Send check or money order to America West, P.O. Box 986 Tehachapi, Ca. 93581
1-800-729-4131

SATAN'S DRUMMERS

By Sananda...\$10.00

In this deeply disturbing JOURNAL, Jesus Sananda exposes the truth about the energy called "Satan", the adversary to God of Light. Satan's fall from status as "Lucifer" is outlined. We learn how to recognize evil and how to protect ourselves from it. Satan's presence is documented within "Satanic Cults" with specific cases of demonic possession, control, murder, sexual perversion and ritual sacrifice of babies, children and animals. **Topics include:** Satan's Beginning - Satanic Commandments - Witchcraft - Satanic Symbols & Music.

AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL: I AM SANANDA

By Sananda & Judas Iscarioth
\$10.00

The story of the life of the one known as Jesus of Nazareth (Immanuel) is told by Jesus and his disciple and scribe, Judas Iscarioth. Judas Iscarioth's name is cleared and the actual one who betrayed Immanuel is revealed. Absolute clarification is given about the numerous falsifications and misconceptions concerning Immanuel's teachings and his life, such as: The purpose of His Life, His 40 days with Cosmic Beings, His Crucifixion and Resurrection and His journey after his resurrection.

JAPAN, cont. from previous page

for the whole of the country, by 1989, 45% of all the loans were **tied to land value.**

The problem is this: the land, because of the incredible increase in price, no longer turned anything like a market rate of return. You would be lucky to get 2% on your investment in Japanese real estate. In fact, 2% would be doing very well. Until the beginning of the fall of the value of the land in 1990, people were buying it in order to get 2%. But the government started issuing bonds in 1990 that paid 7-3/4, which lets you double your money every 10 years guaranteed in yen. This has dropped to the 5.3% range, but it is clear that this is the way to invest if the real estate boom would end which it did! It no longer pays in any measure to buy real estate.

There are massive cracks in the entire system. Prime commercial property is down something over 30% in Tokyo. Since mid-1990, prime commercial properties have dropped over 50% in Osaka. Japanese investors

have now slowed their foreign investing such as buying U.S. debt. In 1989 net portfolio investing was down to \$28 billion internationally. They have stopped buying American T-bills and American bonds, or even American *shares*. They are only investing directly, that is, they are building factories and producing industry. **This should be a good indication of that which you precious remnant should be doing in your financial procurement for your industrial production facilities.**

The Japanese banks have tremendously slowed in foreign lending. In 1988 they provided about 38% of all the cross border loans in the world that in 1990 to dropped to about 35.5%. And a lot of it has been loaned to the U.S., something like \$170 billion. That money can be called back in a crisis. NOW, THAT IS YOUR PROBLEM! As you see rising interest rates in Japan that give reasonably good rates of return, the value of their real estate is dropping, and low dividends have threatened the value of the shares. It is easier to make your money in government bonds, and that's what is beginning to take place--BUT, HOW ABOUT THE VALIDITY OF ANYTHING ATTACHED TO THE GOVERNMENT?

G-7 BANKING "ORDER"

Oops! There is a new international banking order, a new world order of banking, where the G-7 nations have imposed an 8% capital requirement on all the banks in the major industrial countries. The Japanese have agreed to meet these requirements by March of '93. The Japanese banks are trapped; they can't sell the portfolio shares because they have collapsed in value. They can't sell new banks shares very easily. The bank shares have all dropped by some 40% to more than 50% in value.

So what do they have to do? They have to do exactly what the banks in the U.S. are doing. They have to cut back on lending, especially to new projects. Japanese new bank loans to corporations dropped 50% from 1989 to 1990. They no longer are lending overseas in anything like the amount that they were prior to 1990. They have a crisis in corporate funding. Loans have simply dried up. Yet this is the economy that has provided the funds--the investing funds--internationally. **The major international lender, and that country can no longer make the loans.**

What is the Japanese industry's response going to be? What else could they do? They must cut back on capital investing. They must export more, but they need to lend the debtor nations the funds in order to finance this expansion. The Japanese are going to run trade surpluses as long as they can. In 1991, they made a tremendous push to get more goods into the hands of foreigners. This has worked for 45 years, so why should they stop? One reason: insufficient savings at home to finance the export surpluses. Another reason: foreigners are buying Japanese stocks and bonds instead of Japanese goods. This is a mistake, quake or no quake.

You think that Japan loves you, America? You introduced this suicide method to them and saw to it that they learned it well so when Prime Minister Mayazawa made his infamous remark about the possibility that the work ethic had faded in the U.S. you missed the interesting aspect of his statement:

While we were debating whether this situation was all right or not, the money market advanced and junk bonds appeared. Junk bonds, just as their name implies, are very dangerous. We have these leveraged buyouts where those without their own money can buy up things and then, unable to pay the interest on their debts, the companies fall into bankruptcy. It should be obvious to anyone that such a situation could not continue long. Yet over the past decade this very situation has continued. I have long felt that this might involve something like a lack of a work ethic.

Do you understand a bit more clearly now? His criticism of the work ethic was in reference to the rise of junk bonds and the leveraged buyouts. Why else do you think the "big boys" got so incensed and then dumped the insult onto the workers of America--for the intent of the barb was at the very ones who have destroyed your country completely. There is no industry left to recover as these same Elite have now moved the industries into other countries such as Mexico. Ross Perot may well have the right idea but how do you get more people working when your industry is GONE? What Mr. Mayazawa was unwilling to say is that billions upon billions of dollars of those leveraged buyouts, of the creation of the whole junk bond field, were financed by the major Japanese banks. Is it any wonder that a few people would like to see Mr.

Bush dead even if in the middle of a banquet? They have put their money into American leveraged buyouts, and so they themselves are highly leveraged. Their income from the United States on these deals is threatened if in fact they go sour in the U.S. and **THEY ARE.** So, to understate the facts a bit--the Japanese bankers either were naive or **FULLY UNDERSTOOD THE GAME. THE GLOBAL TAKEOVER IS AT HAND!**

EFFECTS IN MOTION

The results are already in full motion. The yen will rise against the dollar unless the Japanese central bank intervenes and does so very quickly **OR the earthquake hits.**

You are seeing the results of the consequences along the Pacific Rim. There is a move in Japan much stronger than ever before towards **Red China**, towards Southeast Asia, especially Indonesia, Singapore, and even India. Taiwan, Hong Kong, and South Korea are imitating Japan's strategy. The competition is fierce. Watch out, world, because the Japanese are determined to become the major players in Asia and they don't like the U.S. any longer! This is not good news. They know that they are still the dominant force in the Pacific and they are going to capitalize on the tremendous advantage that they already possess. They are going to pour money into Asia to establish a firm foothold. They will also pour more into Latin America. This presence in Asia will strengthen Japan's position both politically and economically. There may be a while before you have a shooting war but Japan will dominate Asia if this continues.

Japanese capital strengthens the Asian bloc economies. It makes the yen the Asian bloc currency of choice, and this weakens demand for the dollar by other Asian nations because, instead of relying on you to export to, they can export finally into Japan. Japan wants to invest in Southeast Asia, so where does it get the foreign currencies to invest? There is only one way--they have to export into those nations. What are they going to export? **Capital**, both human and physical. They cannot expect to sell trinkets to the Southeast Asians. Trinkets are what Southeast Asians will sell to the West. The Japanese are going to sell the Asians the tools of production, which include, of course, banking and finance.

Please see JAPAN, next page

Conspirators' Hierarchy: The Committee Of 300

Dr. John Coleman

\$16.95...(plus shipping)

Few know of the existence of this most secret society consisting of 300 people who control world affairs through a network of interlocking banks, financial institutions, insurance companies, newspapers, radio and television stations, Fortune 500 corporations who have at their service intelligence agencies of several nations. This book rips the lid off the top conspiratorial body in the world today who plan and direct all the events making the headlines.

**To order contact:
America West
1-800-729-4131**

NEW BOOK RELEASE

Controlling The CIA

4/18/92 #1 HATONN

I submit that there is no federal agency of our government whose activities receive closer scrutiny and "control" than the CIA.

Lyman Kirkpatrick
former Executive Director,
CIA

October 11, 1971

The reverse of that statement is true in my opinion, and it is shameful for the American people to be so misled. There is no federal agency of our government whose activities receive less scrutiny and control than the CIA.

Senator Stuart Symington
Member, Joint Senate
Committee for CIA Oversight
November 23, 1971

Although Harry Truman wrote in 1963 that "I never had any thought when I set up the CIA that it would be injected into peacetime cloak-and-dagger operations," he--and each President after him--willingly employed the agency to carry out clandestine espionage and covert intervention in the internal affairs of other countries--those activities, in short, subsumed under the "such other functions and duties" language in the enabling legislation. In that phrase lies the author-

ity, according to Richard Helms, for overthrowing foreign governments, subverting elections, bribing officials and waging "secret wars". As Helms told the American Society of Newspaper Editors in 1971, this "language was designed to enable us to conduct such foreign activities as the national government may find it convenient to assign to what can best be described as a 'secret service'."

From its beginning, the CIA's actual functions were couched in deception and secrecy. Richard Bissell's notorious Council on Foreign Relations speech in 1968 stressed that the original legislation was "necessarily vague". He continued:

CIA's full "charter" has been frequently revised, but it has been, and must remain, secret. The absence of a public charter leads people to search for the charter and to question the Agency's authority to undertake various activities. The problem of a secret "charter" remains as a curse, but the need for secrecy would appear

JAPAN, cont. from previous page

WORSE NEWS

This means that they have to turn westward, away from "the West". They have to put their money into Asia, which means that not only will less come to the U.S. BUT THE U.S. MUST REPAY WHAT IS ALREADY OWED THE JAPANESE!

I believe you get the picture? I can write this fifteen different ways from King's English to Arabic but I believe that you had best stop the quibbling over how we present and move on to "what" we present. When those Japanese banks fold--so goes your stability. This is, again, why I suggest that if you are working within large Japanese banks--stop it. They are going to fold and in the aftermath BankAmerica will pick up the good assets--but you-the-taxpayers will pick up the defaults and bad assets. You can continue to deny me, but it is you who will be the loser. I cannot guarantee that anything will save many of your assets for the World Order plans to have them all and they know how to get them. I can only offer that which I see.

Allow us to close this please.
Hatonn to stand-by.

to preclude a solution.

"SECRET CHARTER"
NSCID's

There was never any doubt in the minds of men like Bissell that the CIA's functions should not be a matter of public record. In fact, the National Security Act of 1947 and the supporting Central Intelligence Act of 1949 are little more than legal covers which provide for the existence of the CIA and authorize it to operate outside the rules affecting other government agencies. The CIA's actual role is spelled out in Bissell's "secret charter"--that series of classified executive orders called National Security Intelligence Directives (NSCID's or "en-skids"). These directives were "codified" in 1959, but remain unavailable to all but a few key government officials. Not until July 1973 did the CIA offer the congressional subcommittees which supposedly oversee its activities a glimpse at the "secret charter". And the public still has no way of knowing if the agency is exceeding its mandate because it has no way of knowing what that mandate is.

During the 1947 congressional debate concerning the agency's formation, Representative Fred Busby asked, "I wonder if there is any foundation for the rumors that have come to me to the effect that through this CIA they are contemplating operational activities." These rumors were indeed accurate, and the following year President Truman approved NSC directive 10/2 which authorized first the semi-independent Office of Policy Coordination and then, in 1951, the CIA itself, to carry out "dirty tricks" overseas, with the two stipulations that the operations be secret and "plausibly deniable". A whole series of NSCID's expanding the CIA's activities were issued in the years that followed. One, NSCID 7, gave the CIA powers inside the United States to question Americans about their foreign travels, and to enter into contractual arrangements with American universities, even though the National Security Act of 1947 forbade the agency to exercise any "police, subpoena, law enforcement powers, or internal security functions". Another NSCID was apparently shown to the judge in the 1966 court case in which one Estonian-

Please see CIA, next page

Easter

4/18/92 #1 HATONN

May the Grace of God be your shield through this time of trial and sorting. May you be given into understanding of Truth as the seasons unfold one into another that you may see God in EVERY day and every experience. What meaning does "Easter" hold for you? It has as many "meanings" as there are individual souls to experience, so, would it not be wondrous if it could simply mean love for one another that your days may be long and your journey "home" be in glory? Let us each be thankful for each gifted moment in experience that our knowing grow and our understanding become full and worthy of the gift. When you crucify one man you crucify all and when you realize this Truth you shall have come a far distance. Are you worthy to "claim" forgiveness? Is there question? What "right" have you to claim forgiveness? Who told you as much? Do you dump on more burden in false perception or do you begin to see Truth and Light in your actions? It becomes a good time for thinking on these things of spirit and physical that you might blend the two in correct perception.

"Easter" was a holiday celebrated long before the one named Emmanuel came into the world. It was a special celebration time in Jerusalem and that is WHY the time of "trial" was chosen to coincide. There was not even a word "Christian" at the time. I dictated a tape yesterday on the

subject which I hope all who can will avail themselves for we are not ready to use the writing in this current sequence--but you need the information. I feel great camaraderie as we share the WORD in this more personal manner. You as a people have returned to celebrating the "original" meaning of the holiday and God is all but forgotten. Ah, may you be given into seeing the wondrous beauty and promise of the rainbow but also the hidden dangers for the enemy is the master of deceit and the Prince of Lies. If the focus is not on the promise of eternal LIFE at this time of season--then you have missed the point entirely. As the wondrous beauty of creator is reflected back unto the Earth as the flowers of renewal blossom--may you, too, reflect the glory and radiance of our beloved Source. Amen.

I remind you again at this season of beauty as the flowers come forth in promise of another cycle--or rather, that another cycle has ended--take your glass and look into the heart of even the most tiny speck of a flower and see its life. Take that "Easter" lily and look deep within its blossom and you will see a world of perfection which surpasses all spoken messages. These are gifts from your INVISIBLE CREATOR who gives unto you, His reflection, the wonders of the universe and offers the wisdom of all the ages if you will but accept. May you walk in beauty that glory may be found in your company.

CIA, cont. from previous page

American slandered a fellow refugee and then claimed "absolute privilege" to have done so because he was acting under the CIA's orders. Having seen the secret directive, the judge ruled that the agency had the power to operate among emigre groups in the United States, and he dismissed the suit. **Yet another, NSCID 6, apparently spells out the functions of the National Security Agency** (which itself was created by executive order), since in the Nixon Administration's 1970 secret plan for domestic espionage there is a recommendation that **this directive be revised to allow NSA "coverage of the communications of U.S. citizens using international facilities"**.

CIA FUNCTIONS ENLARGED

The essential point is that successive Presidents have regularly enlarged the functions of the CIA by executive fiat. No new laws have been

passed, and only a handful of Congressmen have been informed of what was happening. And sometimes Presidents have acted without informing even these normally indulgent congressional "watchdogs", as was the case when President Nixon approved the domestic spying program and received the CIA's cooperation. The CIA, if nothing else, has always considered that anything a President told it to do was permissible--indeed, necessary--for the defense of the country.

"Out of the crisis of World War II and the ensuing cold war," Senator Jacob Javits said on July 18, 1973, "lawyers for the President had spun a spurious doctrine of 'inherent' commander-in-chief powers broad enough to cover virtually every 'national security' contingency." Top CIA officials heartily endorse the broad interpretation of presidential powers, even though they understand that the agency's activities often are of doubtful legality. Senator Symington asked Director-designate William Colby on July 2, 1973, "Do not large-scale operations, such as the war in Laos, go considerably beyond what Congress intended when it provided (in the 1947 act) for other functions and duties related to intelligence?" Colby replied, "I think it undoubtedly did." But Colby justified the Laotian operation on the grounds it was carried out with "proper review, instructions, and direction of the National Security Council" and--most important--the President. The legality of the matter, in Colby's apparent view, stemmed from the chief executive's authorization, not the law. Senator Harold Hughes later asked Colby, "Do you believe it is proper under our Constitution for such military operations to be conducted without the knowledge or approval of the Congress?" Colby's written response is an interesting commentary on the modern meaning of congressional approval:

The appropriate committees of the Congress and a number of individual senators and congressmen were briefed on CIA's activities in Laos during the period covered. In addition, CIA's programs were described to the Appropriations Committees in our annual budget hearings.

Colby's claim that these committees were informed conflicts directly with the 1971 statements of the late Senate Appropriations Committee Chairman, Allen Ellender (quoted later), that he knew nothing about the CIA's 36,000-man "secret" army in

Laos.

Colby's explanation reflects the general belief in the CIA that legislative and judicial restraints simply do not apply to the agency--as long as it is acting under presidential order. The CIA sees itself, in Senator Symington's words, as "the King's men or the President's army". Nevertheless, Congress must take some responsibility for contributing to the agency view of being "above the law", since it specifically exempted the CIA from all budgetary limitations which apply to other government departments. The 1949 statute reads: "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, sums made available to the Agency by appropriation otherwise may be expended for purposes necessary to carry out its functions..." This law, which also gives the DCI the right to spend unvouchered funds, does not say, however, that the CIA should not be accountable to Congress; but that, essentially, has been the experience of the past twenty-five years (written, 1974).

These provisions, along with Congress' practice of hiding the CIA's budget in appropriations to other government departments, may well violate the constitutional requirement that "No money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequences of Appropriations made by law; and a regular Statement and Account of the Receipts and Expenditures of all Public Money shall be published from time to time." A legal challenge (Higgs, et al. v. Helms et al.) to the CIA's secrecy in budgetary matters, based on these constitutional grounds, is currently pending in the federal court system.

THE 40 COMMITTEE

The executive branch has its own mechanisms to control the CIA. While these procedures are slanted greatly to favor the agency's position, they do require high-level--usually presidential--approval of all major covert operations except the CIA's classical espionage activities.

By the 1947 law, the CIA falls under the National Security Council, reports to the President through it, and takes its orders from it. But the NSC has, in fact, become a moribund body during the Nixon Administration, and the agency reports sometimes to the President but more often to the NSC staff headed by Henry Kissinger. By levying intelligence-collection priority requirements and requesting ana-

lytical contributions to policy studies, the Kissinger staff plays a large part in directing the CIA's information-gathering effort. As far as the agency is concerned, however, the NSC itself is little more than a conduit from the President and Kissinger to the CIA, a legal fiction which is preserved because the 1947 law gives it authority over the agency.

Every major CIA proposal for covert action--including subsidies for foreign political leaders, political parties, or publications, interference in elections, major propaganda activities, and paramilitary operations--still must be approved by the President or the 40 Committee. Over the last twenty-five years (written 1974) this body has also been called the Special Group, the 54-12 Group, and the 303 Committee. Its name has changed with new administrations or whenever its existence has become publicly known.

Allen Dulles described the 40 Committee's role in THE CRAFT OF INTELLIGENCE: "The facts are that the CIA has never carried out any action of a political nature, given any support of any nature to any persons, potentates or movements, political or otherwise, without appropriate approval at a high political level in our government *outside* the CIA." Dulles' statement was and is correct, but he carefully omitted any mention of the CIA's espionage activities. He also did not mention that the 40 Committee functions in such a way that it rarely turns down CIA requests for covert action.

THE SHORT-FUSE TACTIC

The committee is supposed to meet once a week, but the busy schedule of the members causes relatively frequent cancellations. In addition to Kissinger, they are currently the Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs, the Deputy Director of Defense, the Director of Central Intelligence, and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. When it does meet--roughly once or twice a month in the Nixon Administration--intentionally incomplete minutes are kept by its one permanent staff member, who is always a CIA officer. All the proposals for American intervention overseas that come before the committee are drafted by the CIA's Clandestine Services and thus are likely to maximize the benefits to be gained by agency action and to minimize the disadvan-

Please see CIA, next page

BEST SELLERS BY EUSTACE MULLINS

THE WORLD ORDER

By Eustace Mullins

\$15.00...297pp...Hardcover

Discover the hidden manipulators of the "New" World Order and how they maintain their power. How the Rothschilds are involved. Our relationship with Soviet Russia. The CIA part in the play. The Rule of the Order and more.

MURDER BY INJECTION

By Eustace Mullins

\$15.00...348pp...Hardcover

Mullins unveils the Conspiracy to deny you low cost alternative health care and how federal agents commit acts of Criminal Syndicalism to protect the profits of the "Drug Trust". The World's 18 largest Drug Firms are listed.

THE SECRETS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE

By Eustace Mullins

\$15.00...191pp...Hardcover

This book gives you the Truth which our government leaders and historians have never made public. The Federal Reserve Act, The Aldrich Plan, The Federal Advisory Council, The Money Creators, etc.

**TO ORDER CONTACT
AMERICA WEST
1-800-729-4131**

CIA, cont. from previous page

tages and risks. More often than not, these proposals are put into final form only a few days before the 40 Committee meets. Thus, the non-CIA members often have little time to investigate the issues adequately. And even when sufficient prior notice is given, the staff work that can be done is extremely limited by the supersecrecy surrounding the 40 Committee's deliberations and the fact that only a handful of people outside the agency are cleared to know about its activities. Even within the CIA the short deadlines and the excessive secrecy allow for little independent review of the projects by the Director's own staff.

The 40 Committee's members have so many responsibilities in their own departments that they usually have only a general knowledge about most countries of the world. On specific problems, they generally rely on advice from their agency's regional experts, but these officials are often denied access to 40 Committee proposals and never are allowed to accompany their bosses to committee sessions. Only the DCI is permitted to bring with him an area specialist, and the other high officials, deprived of their own spear carriers, are at a marked disadvantage. Moreover, the 40 Committee members are men who have been admitted into the very private and exclusive world of covert operations, and they have an overwhelming tendency to agree with whatever is proposed, once they are let in on the secret. The non-CIA members of the committee have had little or no experience in covert operations, and they tend to defer to the views of the "experts". Columnist Stewart Alsop, himself an OSS veteran, described in the May 25, 1973, *Washington Post* how the brightest men in the Kennedy Administration could have approved an adventure with so small a chance of success as the Bay of Pigs invasion, and his explanation applies just as well to other CIA activities. Alsop stated, "The answer lies somewhere in the mystique of the secret-service professional *vis-a-vie* the amateur. Somehow, in such a confrontation, the amateur tends to put a childish faith in the confident assertions of the professional." Similarly, Marilyn Berger in the May 26, 1973, *Washington Post* quoted a veteran intelligence official about his experiences in dealing with the 40 Committee: "They were like a bunch of schoolboys. They would listen and

their eyes would bug out. I always used to say that I could get \$5 million out of the 40 Committee for a covert operation faster than I could get money for a typewriter out of the ordinary bureaucracy."

The 40 Committee process is further loaded in favor of the CIA because the agency prepares the proposals, and discussion is thereby within the CIA's terms of reference. The non-CIA members have no way of verifying that many of the agency's assertions and assumptions are correct, for example

6 LINES DELETED

The non-CIA members had to accept the agency's word that this program would have a chance of success. For security reasons, the specific people and methods that the CIA intends to use in a secret operation of this type are never included in the proposal. 40 Committee members can ask about the details at the actual meetings, but they have no way of knowing, without their own regional experts present, whether or not the CIA is providing them with self-serving answers.

In fact, much of the intelligence upon which the recommended intervention is based comes from the Clandestine Services' own sources, and this mixing of the CIA's informational and operational functions can cause disastrous results, as occurred when the agency led the Kennedy administration to believe in 1961 that a landing of an exile military force would lead to a general uprising of the Cuban people. A more recent if less cataclysmic case occurred in 1970 when intervention in the Chilean elections was under government consideration. At

9 LINES DELETED

the content of the report provided a strong argument for U.S. intervention to forestall Soviet gains. This report may or may not have been genuine. In either case, it was disseminated by the people in the Clandestine Services who favored intervention and they were well aware of the effect it would have on the 40 Committee members. If, in this instance, the covert operators were not actually misleading the committee, they certainly could have been, and there was no way that any independent check could be made on them.

PRESIDENTIAL APPROVAL: NEVER ON PAPER

Until the 1967 disclosure of secret CIA funding of the National Student Association and scores of other ostensibly private organizations, the 40 Committee was called on only to give initial approval to covert-action programs. Final approval for a covert-action program is normally given by the 40 Committee chairman--still Henry Kissinger, even since he has become Secretary of State. He, in turn, notifies the President of what has been decided, and if there is a matter on which the committee was in disagreement, the chief executive makes the final decision. Although the President either reviews or personally authorizes all these secret interventions in other countries' internal affairs, he never signs any documents to that effect. Instead, the onus is placed on the 40 Committee, and if he chooses, the President can "plausibly deny" he has been involved in any illegal activities overseas. Thus, most CIA-penetrated and subsidized organizations went on receiving agency funds and other support year after year without any outside review whatever of the continuing worthiness of the project. But the 1967 scandal caused the 40 Committee to revise its procedures so that all ongoing non-espionage operations were regularly reviewed. In these reviews, however, the committee is perhaps even more dependent on the CIA for information and guidance than with new programs. For, unless there has been a public controversy, only the Clandestine Services usually know whether their efforts to subsidize a particular organization or undermine a certain government have been successful. And the Clandestine Services would be unlikely to admit that their own operation was going badly, even if that were the case.

3 LINES DELETED

American officials hoped that through this "democratic front" Thieu could widen his political base by rallying various non-communist opposition elements to his camp. The effort was a resounding failure from the American point of view, since Thieu showed no interest in broadening his support--as long as the Vietnamese army and the U.S. government still supported him. Even though this was one of the few instances where the State Department, through its diplomatic reporting from Saigon

5 LINES DELETED

Even Richard Bissell in his 1968 Council on Foreign Relations talk admitted that the 40 Committee "is of limited effectiveness". Bissell stated that if the committee were the only control instrument, he would "view it as inadequate", but he believed that prior discussions on covert projects at working levels in the bureaucracy compensated for the failings of the "interdepartmental committee composed of busy officials who meet only once a week". To some extent what Bissell says is true, but he omits the fact that the most important projects, such as Bay of Pigs, are considered so sensitive that the working levels outside the CIA are forbidden all knowledge of them. And he does not state that even when a few outside officials at the Assistant Secretary level or just below are briefed on covert operations, they are told the programs are so secret that they cannot talk to any of their colleagues about them, which prevents them from calling into play the bureaucratic forces usually needed to block another agency's projects. Furthermore, these officials having been let in on the U.S. governments' dirtiest and darkest activities, are often reluctant to do anything in opposition that will jeopardize their right to be told more secrets at a later time. Nevertheless, the bureaucracy in State and, to a much lesser extent, in Defense does have some effect in limiting the CIA's covert operations, although not nearly so much as Bissell claimed.

SPIES STILL SACROSANCT

As previously mentioned, there is one CIA activity, classical espionage, over which there is no outside control--not from the 40 Committee, from the bureaucratic working level, nor from Congress. The Director of Central Intelligence has a statutory responsibility to protect intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure, and every DCI since Allen Dulles has taken this to mean that the CIA cannot inform any other government agencies of the identity of its foreign agents--the agency's most closely guarded secrets. While this secrecy, in order not to jeopardize the lives of foreigners (or Americans) who spy for the CIA is understandable, the use of a particular agent can sometimes have a political effect as large

Please see CIA, next page

CIA, cont. from previous page

as, or larger than, a covert-action program. For example, if the CIA recruits a foreign official who is or becomes his country's Minister of Interior (e.g., Antonio Arguedas in Bolivia), then discovery of his connection to the agency can cause an international incident (as occurred in 1968 when Arguedas publicly admitted that he had worked for the CIA). In other instances, there have been Foreign Ministers and even Prime Ministers who were CIA agents, but the 40 Committee never was permitted to rule on whether or not the agency should continue its contact with them. Sometimes the CIA station chief in a particular country will advise the American ambassador that one of his agents is in a very high place in the local government or that he intends to recruit such a man, but the station chief does so at his own discretion.

The recruitment of lower-level foreigners can also have an important effect, especially if something goes wrong. This was the case in Singapore in 1960 when a CIA lie-detector expert blew a fuse, wound up in jail, caused the U.S. government to be subjected to blackmail, and damaged America's reputation overseas. The point to be noted is that since the CIA lie-detector man was putting a potential spy through the "black box", his mission was part of an espionage operation and hence not subject to control outside the agency. Similarly, during the mid-1960's

9 LINES DELETED

Prepared by the Pentagon's National Reconnaissance Office, the Joint Reconnaissance Schedule is always several inches thick and filled with hundreds of pages of highly technical data and maps. To a non-scientist, it is a truly incomprehensible collection of papers, and the staffs of the various 40 Committee members usually have only a day or two to look it over before the meetings. Under these conditions, the 40 Committee usually passes the schedule with little or no discussion. From time to time, the State Department will object to a particularly dangerous flight, such as sending an Air Force drone over South China subsequent to the American invasion of Cambodia, but nearly always missions--including the cruise of the *Liberty* (attacked by the Israelis during the 1967 Six Day War), the voyage of the spy ship

Pueblo (captured by the North Koreans in 1968), and the flight of the EC-121 (shot down by the North Koreans in 1969)--are routinely approved.

18 LINES DELETED

Even as the 40 Committee fails to keep a close watch on secret reconnaissance activities, is relatively ineffective in monitoring the CIA's covert operations, and is totally in the dark on espionage operations, President Nixon and especially Henry Kissinger are unquestionably aware of its shortcomings and have done little to change things. Institutionally, the Committee could easily provide better control over American intelligence if its internal procedures were altered, if it were provided with an adequate staff, and if it could develop its own sources for information and evaluation independent of the agency's Clandestine Services. But it is the President and Kissinger who ultimately determine how the CIA operates, and if they do not *want* to impose closer control, then the *form* of the control mechanism is meaningless. The fact remains that both men believe in the need for the United States to use clandestine methods and "dirty tricks" in dealing with other countries, and the current level and types of such operations obviously coincide with their views of how America's secret foreign policy should be carried out.

Therefore, as long as the CIA remains the President's loyal and personal tool to be used around the world at his and his top advisor's discretion, no President is likely, barring strong, unforeseen pressure, to insist that the agency's operations be brought under closer outside scrutiny.

* * *

This appears to be a good stopping point so allow us to please do so. I believe it a wondrous day to go forth and take a break and see some of the beauty about you in this Springtime which has arrived in full-color glory. It is also a time to spend a bit of time with family and friends so that balance can be gained in this day to day assault upon your senses.

You who ask about the uncovering of the AURORA spy-craft as to the cause of the sonic "booms"--forget it. Those are far more serious than a little spy-plane which is actually a part of the "Blackbird" project. It now becomes a lesser of two evils as to what to tell you about these incidents. I find it most interesting indeed and hope you ones will stay alert for they

are handing you a plate of poison tea-cakes.

By the way--I believe you have already forgotten about the explosions over at Northrop last Friday. I don't believe you ever got any information via airwaves?? How interesting!

Hatonn to stand-by.

4/19/92 #1 HATONN
SUNDAY

EASTER MESSAGE

Christ is quite alive and doing well OFF planet Earth. Ye of the planet cannot say the same. We are at your door awaiting the invitation to enter in. God stands at your threshold--will ye ask Him in? Or, will you take up arms against your brother, the Hosts, come in peace--because your speakers and controllers tell you that we are of evil intent and hostile? HOW did YOU believe God would come to join with you? He is not out-there-somewhere; He dwells within each and we of the Hosts are come to reclaim that which is Creator's. Who shall come and walk with me? We shall see.

I have no further message more important than that which we are unfolding as to the culprits in the game of life on planet Earth. If you cannot know your enemy you cannot stand against him. There is nothing more important to your journey than to understand the workings of the force which binds you and controls the interactions of your governments and politics which in turn enslave YOU. I know my mission and Dharma knows hers--therefore, we will get right into it.

I do have another tid-bit of information about which it would bode you well to listen-up.

DISASTER TRAINING

In this little California community the major work resource, other than military, is a large State Correctional Institute. You may find it interesting to note that there are organized and special training programs underway at that prison for establishment of emergency measures. The word is that a MAJOR earthquake is scheduled to be precipitated by September of this year, following which there will be no services, a devastated aqueduct system with no irrigation facilities to the garden basket of your nation, and precautions are now under way for self-tending when it happens. This is not even told about the community so

where does that leave YOU--in any community? When the enforcement people know and you do not--does this not speak louder than do words?

You will also be wise to note that now, in the Utility Department of Los Angeles, there are issued instructions to close off all facilities to the public and all members thereof, the minute disaster strikes. All hands will turn to restore what "life-lines" can be repaired but all people will be shut out from all facilities.

Is it more meaningful if you hear these things from source instead of from me? Will you take action either way? Will you simply continue to bury your head and blame God? So be it.

Now back to the CIA, please.

THE "PFIAB" AND THE "OMB"

In addition to the 40 Committee, the President has two other bodies in the executive branch which could conceivably assist him in controlling the CIA. One of these is the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board (PFIAB), a group of eleven presidentially appointed private citizens who meet several times a year to evaluate the activities of the intelligence community and to make recommendations for needed change. President Eisenhower originally set up the PFIAB in 1956 under the chairmanship of Dr. James Killain of MIT, and its other heads have been General John Hull, Clark Clifford [surprise!?! surely he wouldn't have been tangled up in BCCI!?!], General Maxwell Taylor, and currently (1974), retired Admiral George Anderson. The majority of its members have always been people with close ties to the Pentagon and defense contractors, and it has consistently pushed for bigger (and more expensive) intelligence-collection systems.

IN FEBRUARY 1974, THE PFIAB'S MEMBERS, IN ADDITION TO ADMIRAL ANDERSON, WERE DR. WILLIAM BAKER, BELL TELEPHONE LABORATORIES' VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH; JOHN CONNALLY, FORMER GOVERNOR OF TEXAS AND SECRETARY OF THE NAVY AND THE TREASURY; LEO CHERNE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF AMERICA; DR. JOHN FOSTER, FORMER DIRECTOR OF DEFENSE DEPARTMENT RE-

Please see CIA, next page

CIA, cont. from previous page

SEARCH AND ENGINEERING; ROBERT GALVIN, PRESIDENT OF MOTOROLA; GORDON GRAY, FORMER ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR NATIONAL SECURITY AFFAIRS; DR. EDWIN LAND, PRESIDENT OF POLAROID; CLARE BOOTHE LUCE, FORMER CONGRESSWOMAN AND AMBASSADOR; NELSON ROCKEFELLER, FORMER GOVERNOR OF NEW YORK; AND DR. EDWARD TELLER, NUCLEAR PHYSICIST AND "FATHER" OF THE HYDROGEN BOMB.

The PFIAB meets approximately once a month in Washington, and is thus of limited value as a permanent watchdog committee. It is further handicapped by its status as an advisory group, with the resulting lack of bureaucratic authority. In general, the various members of the intelligence community look on the board as more of a nuisance than a true control mechanism. Periodically,

when PFIAB is in session, CIA officials brief the members on current intelligence collection and the latest national estimates. The Clandestine Services' activities--particularly covert-action operations--are almost never considered unless an operation has already been publicly disclosed.

BILDERBERG INTENT EVIL

[H: In speaking of meetings of high-level importance in overall decision making, I am asked constantly about the Bilderberg meeting which always takes place in April and the outcome of that meeting? This year it is postponed until the latter part of May (currently at least) to await more information and direction-trends in the political campaigns. Remember, Clinton is hand-picked as the Bilderberg candidate and future actions hinge on what is happening in the American (U.S.) Presidential campaign. The intent, of course, is that Clinton ultimately fill the lesser spot of U.S.

President while Bush takes higher control within the United Nations. This requires a lot of manipulation of the people and ultimately will require Executive Order Regulations and Military force.]

Over the years, Presidents have tended to use the PFIAB as a prestigious but relatively safe "in-house" investigative unit, usually at times when the chief executive was displeased with the quality of intelligence he was receiving. Whenever an intelligence failure is suspected in connection with a foreign-policy setback, the board is usually convened to look

into the matter. President Kennedy called on it to recommend ways to reorganize the intelligence community after the 1961 Bay of Pigs debacle, but virtually no changes resulted from the PFIAB's efforts. The following year Kennedy asked the PFIAB to find out why the CIA had not discovered sooner that there were Soviet offensive missiles in Cuba, and the PFIAB found the two accurate agent accounts of the Soviet build-up buried among the thousands of misleading or irrelevant reports which had piled up at the agency in the month before the crisis. With perfect hindsight the PFIAB declared that the CIA should have recognized the truth of these reports and rejected all the others. Similarly, in 1968 President Johnson had the board investigate why the CIA had not determined the precise timing of the Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia in advance.

These PFIAB post-mortems can be of great value to the intelligence community in pinpointing specific weaknesses and recommending solutions; they could be even more useful in making clear that certain events simply cannot be predicted in advance, even by the most efficient intelligence system. However, the PFIAB has tended to operate with the assumption that all information is "knowable" and that the intelligence community's problems would be solved if only more data were collected by more-advanced systems. This emphasis on quantity over quality has served to accentuate the management problems that plague American intelligence and, in recent years at least, has often been counterproductive.

Probably the PFIAB's most notable contribution to the nation's intelligence effort occurred in the 1950's and early 1960's when one of its subcommittees, headed by Polaroid's Dr. Edwin Land, conceived several new technical collection programs. Land's subcommittee was instrumental in advancing the development of the U-2 spy plane, which, with the exception of the ill-fated Powers flight over the Soviet Union, may be considered one of the CIA's greatest successes.

7 LINES DELETED

The new systems are technologically feasible, but they are fantastically expensive, costing billions of dollars, and the intelligence benefits to be gained are marginal.

Please see CIA, next page

SURVIVING THE AIDS PLAGUE

By Taki Anagnoston, M. D.
\$14.95...339pp Trade Paper
ISBN: 0-922356-44-0

Learn about AIDS from the intensive research of an M.D. Discover what AIDS really is - The economics of AIDS - Man's future: AIDS cure or extinction? - Precaution to take to protect yourself - What our Government, Organized medicine, Hospitals and Physicians are doing about AIDS.

AIDS: THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE

By Sananda, Hatonn, Ashtar, Nikola Tesla, & Walter Russell
\$10.00...150pp Trade Paper
ISBN: 0-922356-04-1

In this sobering JOURNAL the MAN-made origin of AIDS as well as MANY other viruses is revealed. Implicated in this Conspiracy for population reduction through the spread of AIDS are the "World Health Organization" through its Small Pox Vaccination program in Africa and The "Public Health Service" through its Hepatitis B study on Homosexual men in New York, Los Angeles and San Francisco. Learn who is behind this biological warfare against humanity, why vaccines will never work and why there is no such thing as "safe" sex.

**TO ORDER CONTACT
AMERICA WEST
PUBLISHERS
1-800-729-4131**

IS THE ECONOMY RECOVERING?

Learn the truth behind what you're being told!

NEW RELEASE

CHAOS IN AMERICA

Contains information on the current economic decline with the history to validate King's findings. Also, learn how to survive and prosper despite the Chaos with investments, real estate, food energy and self-defense.

PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL

Is privacy possible? This document contains very pragmatic "how to" and tactical suggestions to help you legally fade into the background. Topics are: IRS, credit cards, job surveillance, credit history, & more!

SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER

Some economic realities. It exposes the "grey men" and the secret government. The depression, new currency, new money and the debit card system, financial strategies across the board.

YOU CAN SLAY THE DRAGON

We the people can bring Constitutional law back by recognizing the present unlawful government and taking action. Read the "how" and "why" of our current enslavement and solutions to freedom for all.

**\$\$\$ Financial Reality Book Package \$\$\$
Chaos \$11.95 all other books \$10.00 each**

To Order By Credit Card Call: 800-729-4131 or Fax: 805-822-9658.

Send Check or Money Order to:

America West, P.O. Box 986, Tehachapi, Ca. 93581

Shipping Charges - UPS - \$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 each additional

Book Rate- \$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 each additional

ORDER ALL 4 BOOKS AND RECEIVE A 10% DISCOUNT

CIA, cont. from previous page

The President's last potential regulatory body of intelligence affairs is the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Known as the Bureau of Budget until 1969, the OMB is the White House agency which closely scrutinizes the spending of all government departments and determines fiscal priorities for the administration. It has the power to cut the spending of federal agencies and even eliminate entire programs. Cabinet secretaries can sometimes appeal the OMB's decisions to the President but he is understandably reluctant to overrule his own budgetary watchdog. For the CIA, however, the OMB (and the BOB before it) has never been more than a minor irritant. Its International Affairs Division's intelligence branch, which in theory monitors the finances of the intelligence community, has a staff of only five men: a branch chief and one examiner each for the CIA, the NSA, the **National Reconnaissance Office**, and the DIA (including the rest of military intelligence). These five men could not possibly do a complete job in keeping track of the \$6 BILLION spent annually for government spying, even if they received full cooperation from the agencies involved--which they do not.

MANAGING THE BUDGETEERS

The theology of national security, with its emphasis on secrecy and deception, greatly limits the effectiveness of the President's budget examiners, who are generally treated as enemies by the intelligence agencies. In this regard, the CIA has been particularly guilty. When the OMB started monitoring the agency in the 1950's, the budget man was refused a permanent pass to visit headquarters. He was regularly forced to wait at the building entrance while a CIA official upstairs was telephoned and asked to verify the auditor's credentials. The situation improved somewhat in 1962 after Robert Armory, former CIA Deputy Director for Intelligence, became head of the OMB's International Division, and the examiner received his own badge. (The former examiner was meanwhile recruited by the CIA and assigned to deal with the OMB, and the new examiner turned out to be himself a former agency employee, who eventually returned also to handle relations with the OMB.)

In the mid-1960's President Johnson gave the OMB expanded

power to scrutinize agency spending, but even this presidential mandate did not appreciably improve the bureau's access. For example, after the

4 LINES DELETED

the OMB examiner wanted to look into how the money was being spent. At one point, he came to the agency with the intention of speaking to the knowledgeable personnel in the Clandestine Services, after first stopping off to see one of the CIA's Planning, Programming, and Budgeting (PPB) officers. The PPB man was told not to let the OMB representative leave his office while Director Helms was being informed of what the OMB was trying to investigate. Helms promptly called a high White House official to complain that the OMB was interfering with a program already approved by the 40 Committee. The White House, in turn, ordered the OMB to drop its inquiry.

4 LINES DELETED

The significance of this incident is not so much that the CIA makes life difficult for the OMB and gets away with it. Rather, what happened reflects the agency's attitude that its operations are above normal bureaucratic restraints and that when the President has given his approval, not even the technicalities can be questioned.

The CIA has also resorted to the use of outright lies and deceit to prevent the OMB from being informed about its activities. In 1968 an examiner made a fact-finding tour of CIA installations in Europe and the Middle East. He was accompanied by an agency officer from headquarters and his escort was specifically told by the Clandestine Services' European Division chief that the budget man should not be allowed to see anything "which might later cause us difficulty or embarrassment." The examiner was to be entertained, given cursory briefings, but not educated.

12 LINES DELETED AND 6 LINES (ALL FOOTNOTE) DELETED

CIA headquarters knew that the OMB man was extremely interested in guns and police work, and the field stations were so informed.

2 LINES DELETED

he was asked if he would first like to visit Scotland Yard. With his interest in police work, he was unable to resist such an offer and, by prearrangement, the British police snowed him under with extensive briefings and tours of the facilities. This diversion, which had nothing to do with the purpose of his trip, cost him a whole day out of his tight schedule. The next day he was slated to drive to another CIA installation about a hundred miles from London. But the agency did not want him to have much time to ask questions or to look around. Thus, his route was planned to pass through Banbury, the picturesque old English town whose cross is of nursery-rhyme fame. As the agency's operators had suspected, he could not forgo the pleasure of stopping in a typical English pub for lunch and then doing some sightseeing. The better part of another day was killed in this fashion, and he never had time to dig deeply into matters the agency did not want him to know about. Soon after, he left England without ever closely inspecting the agency's extensive activities there (aimed principally at Third World countries). To be sure, he had hardly been assiduous in his effort to penetrate the CIA smoke screen.

In the Near East, things worked out better for the man from OMB. The head of that division, unlike the European Division clandestine chief, saw the tour as an opportunity to impress the OMB examiner with the agency's activities. Thus, the escort officer was instructed to give the visitor "the full treatment", and the clandestine operators in the field were told to confide in him in order to win him over to the CIA side.

This examiner's experience was not exceptional. Many similar instances point up the OMB's--and, earlier, the BOB's--failure to exercise any degree of meaningful control over the CIA. As Director, Richard Helms was fully aware and indeed encouraging of the agency's efforts to escape OMB scrutiny. Still, he could, apparently in good conscience tell the American Society of Newspaper Editors in 1971, "Our budget is gone over line for line by the Office of Management and Budget."

[H: Is it becoming more understandable how it worked with visiting dignitaries in the Gulf War? Only that which was desired that you be shown was shown and all things staged to the point of putting Hollywood to shame--in fact, Hollywood directors were utilized to set

and stage the encounters and examinations. In addition, all material and pictures were thoroughly edited and censored--and now, the government tells you it was necessary that you be lied to and the media controlled and used as a "set-up". Is anybody out there a bit angry yet?]

THE AMBASSADOR'S ROLE

The American ambassador in each country where the United States maintains diplomatic relations is, in theory, the head of the "country team", which is made up of the chiefs of all the U.S. government agencies operating in that country, including the CIA. The Eisenhower Administration originated this expanded role for the ambassador, but also issued a secret directive exempting the CIA from his supervision. President Kennedy, shortly after taking office, reiterated that the ambassador should supervise all the agencies and then sent out a secret letter which said the CIA was not to be excluded. The Kennedy letter remains in effect today, but its application varies from country to country.

In nearly every case, the personalities of the ambassador and the CIA station chief determine the degree to which the ambassador exercises control over the CIA. Strongwilled diplomats like G. McMurtrie Godley, first in the Congo and then in Laos (where he became known as the "field marshal"), and Ellsworth Bunker in Vietnam have kept the agency under close supervision, but they are also staunch advocates of extensive clandestine operations. Some ambassadors insist, as did Chester Bowles in India, that they be informed of the CIA activities but usually do not try to exert any control over the operations. Still others, because of a lack of forcefulness or a lack of interest, give the CIA a free hand and do not even want to be informed of what the agency is up to.

Again quoting the Bissell doctrine:

Generally the Ambassador had a right to know of any covert operations in his jurisdiction, although in special cases (as a result of requests from the local Chief of State or the Secretary of State) the (CIA) chief of station was instructed to withhold information from the Ambassador. Indeed, in one case the restriction was im-

Please see CIA, next page

CIA, cont. from previous page

posed upon the specific exhortation of the Ambassador in question, who preferred to remain ignorant of certain activities.

One ambassador, John C. Pritzlaff, Jr., refused to play such a passive role and, in a fashion highly uncharacteristic of American envoys, stood up to the CIA. In the process, Pritzlaff, a political appointee, became something of a hero to the few State Department officers familiar with the way he virtually banned CIA covert activities from his country of assignment, Malta. The problem started early in 1970 when retired Admiral George Anderson took a trip through the Mediterranean countries and became alarmed that leftist Dom Mintoff might win the Maltese elections scheduled for the end of the year. As a Navy man, Anderson was a strong sea-power advocate, and he feared Malta might be lost to N.A.T.O. forces and become a base for the Soviet fleet. Although he was not yet head of PFIAB, he used his White House connections to urge the Clandestine Services to intervene in the Maltese elections. The agency was not enthusiastic about the project, partly because of its lack of "assets" on the island, but it agreed to send a clandestine operative to make a study of how the election could be fixed. Ambassador Pritzlaff, in telegram after telegram, resisted even this temporary assignment of an agency operative to his country. In the end, the Clandestine Services did not intervene and Mintoff was elected. N.A.T.O. retained access to the island through British bases.

Anderson's fears seemed partially justified, however, in 1971, when Mintoff precipitated a mini-crisis by expelling the N.A.T.O. commander from the island and by greatly increasing the cost to Britain of keeping its facilities there. In an incident reminiscent of Cyprus President Makarios' blackmail of U.S. intelligence several years before, the U.S. government was forced to contribute several million dollars to help the British pay the higher rent for the Maltese bases.

POWER OF THE "GOLDEN RULE"

As we bring these practices into your attention, I can only hope that you are seeing the correlation with what "has" happened and what "is"

happening. Any time you have your President, as with Bush yesterday, answering "No" to a question which is OBVIOUSLY correct and his answer a lie, you have this CIA doctrine of "plausible denial" in play. The President knows exactly what he is doing and, when caught in the lie--HE NEVER HAS TO OWN UP TO HAVING LIED BECAUSE ULTIMATELY YOU HAVE TO REALIZE THAT "PLAUSIBLE DENIAL" IS A PART OF THE GAME--EXACTLY AS THE KOL NEDRE (VOW OF ALL VOWS) IS A FUNCTIONING PART OF THE TALMUD FOLLOWERS--ZIONISTS. "Even if caught in the lie, it simply does not count!" and can be attached to "National Security" and you-the-people can't do a blamed thing about it. President George Bush knows exactly what he is doing and when he tells you he KNOWS his Power--HE DOES!! IF YOU WRITE THE RULES YOU HAVE A MASSIVE ADVANTAGE. It is the old "Power of the Golden Rule"--HE WHO HAS THE POWER AND THE GOLD--RULES!

Mr. Bush may well go and stare at the sunrise on Easter Sunday and go get attention in church and might well pray to some god or another--BUT IT SURE AIN'T MINE! GOD BLESSES NOT EVIL. While you and your babies hunt little chicken and chocolate eggs--he is putting all of your eggs into his basket and you end up with none.

HOW DO WE GET OUT THIS INFORMATION?

Some information it is almost impossible to bring unto you. The time, however, has come on your globe when the power brokers are ready to bring in all the chips. Two things take place--THEY WANT YOU TO KNOW OF THEIR POWER AND WHO TO ATTEND, and the proven "assumption" is that you are already brain-dead and will not listen, see or hear.

"They" also know that we will NEVER tell you to take up arms against them for it is obvious that you will be the ones killed and you are of God's remnant. This battle will not be won by bullets, chelas, for it is a spiritual confrontation at its higher level of experience. IN OTHER WORDS, THEY ACTUALLY WANT YOU TO KNOW WHILE THEY PRETEND TO BE AGAINST YOU GETTING THE WORD. They know it is dangerous for them but the

information has already been presented--it is a matter of you finding it and accepting it. The further assumption is that you are not going to entertain some "space" person or invisible "higher" Source.

How then, can Dr. Coleman, for example, present his "stuff"? Because he has been allowed to come within our shield--as long as he serves them also. The "enemy" has full surveillance of all this equipment and the LIMITATIONS ARE KNOWN BY THE HIGHER AUTHORITIES. Accidents occur because sometimes there are brave and daring young officers who actually still think they are serving goodness. Coleman writes nothing which has not already been presented. This is a time of compiling and pulling into your attention that which IS--with ability to CONFIRM.

Do not misunderstand my relationship with one, John Coleman. I keep him within my protection as long as he asks same. His actions bespeak very human attributes with which I take great exception--but this, in itself, must be confirmation for you as readers. I honor TRUTH and each being has opportunity and freedom to act within his own guidelines. Indiscretions become between him and God and is none of my business except as it impacts my work and my people. This is why total separation is kept sacrosanct.

Am I showing lack of care by printing this little dissertation? I certainly hope you can see that I am NOT. I care greatly but I honor all choices--however, NO MAN shall set the standards for THE HOSTS and human EGO shall not be rewarded for its own disservice which endangers others who are set into jeopardy because of the errors of the one. Or, perhaps it is no error of discernment--perhaps more is known and realized than meets the outward eye. However, just as I have honored other writers who have gifted you with insight and great information--so too, shall I honor others. Thus far his work has been fairly accurate but without public documentation such as, say, Eustace Mullins--but that, too, is desirable considering resources and differing methods of gaining information. MY INTENT IS TO GIVE CREDIT WHERE DUE AND HONOR ONES WHO DARE TO SPEAK TRUTH UNTO YOU WHO ARE ABOUT TO DIE IF YOU HEAR NOT! WORSE YET--YOU SHALL NOT ASCEND IN THE FLESH AFTER THE DEATH RITUAL, SOME THREE DAYS

LATER, FOR YOU HAVE FORGOTTEN THE MESSAGE OF THE "CHRISTOS".

I do not advocate much of what this man outlays, from being in favor of the "death" penalty to the acceptance of the Geneva Bible. But this does not make his TRUTH about the Committee of 300 less valid. I would not, further, endanger his life or those of his family by declaring him to be in my immediate service for he is not and, therefore, his safety is not always in my ability or concern. This is a most important bit of information to you readers, please.

This is exactly the same as my relationship with America West--except that the Greens ask to be in my protection at all times for their service is great and directed primarily toward God and service unto God as in this very work. They, however, are publishers and distributors of books--they have every freedom to print and disperse anything they desire. You will find no "cult" herein nor even "group" as you recognize the term to mean in "mutual belief". This means that you as reader are as responsible and as included as any one of any of our crew. YOU become the "group".

And, moreover, great blessings be upon this "group" for ye are the physical hands and feet of God and the Hosts come in His service to bring YOU home. Salu.

YOU ARE "IN" THE SYSTEM WHETHER OR NOT YOU LIKE OF IT--THEREFORE, YOU ARE WISE TO WORK WITHIN THE SYSTEM TO THE EXTENT OF BEING WITHIN THE LAWS WHEREIN YOU CAN DO SO FOR TO SET SELF UP AS A TARGET FOR ATTENTION SIMPLY HAMPERS GOD'S OWN WORKING PLAN. GOD NEEDS NO MORE DEAD MARTYRS--THERE ARE TOO MANY OF YOU "WALKING AROUND DEAD" (ESPECIALLY BETWEEN THE EARS). YOU ARE ALREADY WITHIN THE SYSTEM! DO NOT BE FOOLISH.

There is full understanding of OUR PRESENCE and our position and frankly, sleepy ones, THEY are not in the least concerned about you or your awakening to any great extent--IT IS ASSUMED THAT EVIL HAS WON! The plan is to now introduce the presence of aliens but in such a way as to terrify the population of the globe and unite all of you into "fighting" the "hostile aliens". Your only danger is already on your place and in all

Please see CIA, next page

CIA, cont. from previous page

the high places of your land.

**4/20/92 #2 HATONN
MONDAY**

As news flows back to you regarding the ineffectuality and total unworthiness of your weapons systems, your military and your government these days, do you not see the implications?

"60" MINUTES is giving you enough to unbefuddle your minds. They just showed an old interview with Noriega and the picture is totally clear of the interim intrigues. The same with the Apache helicopters and their unworthiness. The materials are total junk--so where do the billions of dollars go? Hidden intrigues set forth by the governors, your Administration, Chiefs of Staff, CIA Directors and the Global Elite.

If you fail to study this information we bring unto you then you shall NOT change a thing on your planet and you shall perish in enslavement of the masses.

I ask that Quade's Sacramento speech be put to print along with Gritz's. Both project incorrect assumptions but are worthy for the confirmation given in each. If, however, ones who are in error even in part and refuse to avail themselves of the further information in order to see and comprehend the "whole" then you shall NEVER find solutions to that which confronts you on and within the physical plane of experience. For

instance, if you still think you can rise up against the Puppet Masters with guns--you are not in full understanding of your plight. **THEY HAVE BIGGER AND BETTER WAYS AND GUNS TO CONTROL YOU AND ISOLATE YOU. YOU PLAY INTO THEIR HANDS BY THIS TYPE OF CONFRONTATION.** It is time to understand GOD and PURPOSE and get with the access to knowledge which we freely pour forth for you to the extent that you moan and complain constantly at the quantity of it all. **GOD IS NOT GOING TO DO IT FOR YOU AND NEITHER ARE THE HOSTS--WE COME TO TELL YOU HOW AND SHOW THE WAY--YOU WILL DO IT OR YOU WILL GO DOWN IN DEFEAT. TO GO DOWN DOES NOT MEAN TO RISE UP ON CLOUDS WITH ANGELS--IT MEANS TO GO DOWN IN THE PHYSICAL WITH INABILITY TO GROW BEYOND UNTIL ANOTHER EXPRESSION IN MANIFESTATION. WHY WOULD YE CONTINUE TO DO THINGS IN ERROR WHEN TRUTH IS BEFORE YOU? PONDER IT.**

Do you have any idea of the relative tiny number 144,000 is to 6-1/2 BILLION? I thought not! A place is prepared for well over the 7-1/2 billion persons you ACTUALLY have on your planet--but I assure you if it all came to a close this day--our craft would not be crowded. All on your planet can be housed on MY MOTHER-SHIP but this day you wouldn't even have enough coming aboard to lay a foundation for the new

structuring of the gift in store for you. You are going to learn to think in wisdom beyond that which seems apparent or you will be destined to remain in the narrow perspective until you do. So be it.

**CONTROLLING THE CIA:
CONGRESS**

Congressional control of the CIA can be broken down into two distinct periods: before and after Watergate. In the agency's first twenty-six years, the legislative branch was generally content to vote the CIA more than enough money for its needs, without seriously questioning how the funds would be spent. In fact, only a handful of Congressmen even knew the amount appropriated, since all the money was hidden in the budgets of other government agencies, mainly the Defense Department. To be sure, four separate subcommittees of the House and Senate Armed Services committees were responsible for monitoring the CIA, but their supervision was minimal or nonexistent. In the House, the names of the members were long kept secret but they were generally the most senior (and thus often the most conservative) men on their respective committees. (Allen Dulles was reported by the *New York Times* in April 1966 to have had "personal control" over which congressmen would be selected.) In August 1971, House Armed Services Chairman F. Edward Hebert of Louisiana broke with past practice and dipped down his committee's seniority ladder to appoint Lucien Nedzi, a hard-working liberal from Michigan, head of the oversight subcommittee. Hebert, however, kept complete control of the subcommittee's staff, and Nedzi is the only non-conservative among the panel's five permanent and two *ex officio* members. When Hebert made his unusual choice, it was widely speculated that he was trying to defuse outside criticism of the subcommittee's performance by naming a liberal as chairman, and that he felt he could keep Nedzi isolated. Nedzi had little time for overseeing the CIA during 1972, his first full year as chairman, because he faced tough primary and re-election challenges. In 1973 he launched a comprehensive inquiry into the agency's role in the Watergate affair but it remains (in 1974) to be seen whether his subcommittee will delve any deeper into CIA covert operations than the House panels have

done in the past. In the Senate the Armed Services and Appropriations subcommittees have traditionally met together to maintain joint oversight of the CIA. As is true in the House, the members have almost all been conservative, aging, military-oriented legislators.

NO WATCHDOGS ALLOWED

Many Congressmen and Senators--but by no means a majority--believe that these oversight arrangements are inadequate and since 1947 nearly 150 separate pieces of legislation have been introduced to increase congressional surveillance of the CIA. None has passed either chamber, and the House has never even had a recorded vote on the subject. The Senate, by a 59-27 margin in 1956, and by 61-28 in 1966, has turned down proposals for expanded and more active watchdog committees for the agency and the rest of the intelligence community. To strengthen his case for maintaining the *status quo* at the time of the 1966 vote, Senator Richard Russell, then chairman of the Armed Services Committee, agreed that starting in 1967 the three senior members of the Foreign Relations Committee would be allowed unofficially to sit in on the joint oversight subcommittee's meetings. But after this arrangement was in effect for several years, Senator John Stennis, Russell's successor as chairman, simply stopped holding sessions. There was not a single one in either 1971 or 1972. Stennis is generally believed to have ended the subcommittee's functions because foreign-policy liberals J. William Fulbright and Stuart Symington would have been present for the secret deliberations. Neither man was trusted at the time by either the CIA or by the conservative Senators who have kept oversight of the CIA as their own private preserve. In the absence of any joint subcommittee meetings, the five senior members of the Appropriations Committee, all of whom were staunch hawks and administration supporters, met privately to go over the agency's budget.

Senator Symington challenged this arrangement on November 23, 1971, when, without prior warning, he introduced a floor amendment which would have put a \$4 billion limit on government-wide intelligence spending--roughly \$2 billion less than what the administration was requesting. Although Symington's amendment was

Please see CIA, next page

A CALL TO SERVE FOR ALL



CALLED TO SERVE
By James "Bo" Gritz
\$24.95...Book

In his book, Gritz lifts the veil of National Security to reveal how U. S. Forces have been used as tools by international elitists who are guilty of drug trafficking, preventing American POW's from coming home, and more.

**To Order Call: America West
1800-729-4131**



COL. JAMES "BO" GRITZ
PALO ALTO, CA. 7/91
\$20.00...2 Hour...Video Tape

Bo tells the story behind the covert CIA operations of Viet Nam. He names those key individuals involved in the Golden Triangle heroin trade. His is a message of courage and he delivers a "final call to serve" to all who will awaken to the evil behind the "New World Order".

CIA, cont. from previous page

defeated 51-36, it produced perhaps the most illuminating debate on intelligence ever heard in the Senate.

Symington berated the fact that the Senate was being asked to vote billions of dollars for intelligence with only five Senators knowing the amount; and in a colloquy with the Appropriations chairman, the late Allen Ellender, Symington established that even those five Senators had limited knowledge of the CIA's operations. Ellender replied to Symington's question on whether or not the appropriations subcommittee had approved the financing of a 36,000-man "secret" army in Laos:

I did not know anything about it....I never asked, to begin with, whether or not there were any funds to carry on the war in this sum the CIA asked for. It never dawned on me to ask about it. I did see it published in the newspapers some time ago.

**We are now offering
MEETINGS WITH THE
COMMANDER
AUDIO TAPES**

Hatonn has requested that taped meetings/question and answer sessions be offered to you. Donations to cover the costs of the tapes will be \$4.00 for one tape, \$6.00 for two tapes, and \$2.50 per tape for three or more. (For orders to Mexico or Canada add \$.25 per tape and for any other foreign country add \$.50 per tape). We are not set up to take credit card orders, please send check or money order donations to THE WORD. If you desire tapes from every meeting (to be sent automatically), please send a \$50.00 (or more) donation from which tape costs will be deducted. You will be notified when your balance falls below \$20.00.

4-4-92 (3 Tapes) Current Events & Q & A

4-12-92 (2 Tapes) Hatonn at Community Church

4-13-92 (1 Tape) Hatonn on WHAT IS A SEMITE?

4-17-92 (1 Tape) Hatonn on Who were the first Christians?

4-25-92 (2 Tapes) Hatonn, "What is coming soon?" (About the Photon Belt), etc.

Send your donation to:

"THE WORD"

P.O. Box 6194

Tehachapi, CA 93582

805-822-4176

Laos was, of course, the CIA's largest operation at the time that supposed overseer Ellender admitted ignorance about it. Richard Russell, too, had had a similar lack of interest in what the CIA was doing. He had once even told CIA Director Helms--privately--that there were certain operations he simply did not want to know about. Senator Leverett Saltonstall, who served for many years as ranking Republican on the oversight subcommittee, expressed the same view publicly in 1966: "It is not a question of reluctance on the part of CIA officials to speak to us. Instead it is a question of our reluctance, if you will, to seek information and knowledge on subjects which I personally, as a Member of Congress and as a citizen, would rather not have."

KEEPING IT SECRET

Faced with this rejection of responsibility on the part of the congressional monitors, the CIA has chosen to keep the subcommittee largely in the dark about its covert operations--unless a particular activity, such as the 1967 black-propaganda effort against mainland China, has been successful in the agency's eyes and could be bragged about to the legislators. Helms did make frequent visits to Capitol Hill to give secret briefings, but these usually concerned current intelligence matters and estimates of the communist countries' military capabilities--not the doings of the Clandestine Services. Yet Helms won a reputation among lawmakers as a man who provided straight information.

Although Helms had been for many years providing current intelligence and estimates to congressional committees in secret oral briefings, the CIA officially opposed legislation introduced in 1972 by Senator John Sherman Cooper of Kentucky which would have provided the appropriate committees with the same sort of data in the form of regular CIA reports. The bill was favorably approved by the Foreign Relations Committee but subsequently died in Armed Services. Director-designate William Colby told the latter committee in July 1973 that he thought this information could be supplied on an informal basis "without legislation".

Senator J. William Fulbright, who sat in Helms' briefings to the joint oversight committee until they were discontinued in 1971, described the proceedings to author Patrick McGarvey for the latter's CIA: THE

MYTH AND THE MADNESS:

The ten minute rule is in effect, so the members have little if any chance to dig deep into a subject. The director of CIA spends most of the time talking about the Soviet missile threat and so on. The kind of information he provides is interesting, but it really is of little help in trying to find out what is going on in intelligence. He actually tells them only what he wants them to know. It seems to me that the men on the committee are more interested in shielding CIA from its critics than in anything else.

Once a year the CIA does come before the appropriations subcommittees in both houses to make its annual budget request. These sessions, however, are completely on the agency's terms. Prior to the meeting, CIA electronics experts make an elaborate show of sweeping the committee rooms for bugging devices, and blankets are thrown over the windows to prevent outside surveillance. The transcripts of the sessions are considered so secret that copies are locked up at CIA headquarters. Not one is left with the subcommittees for future study. Committee staff members, who normally do most of the substantive preparation for hearings, are banned at the CIA's request.

A relatively similar procedure is followed when an individual Senator or Congressman writes to the CIA about a covert operation. Instead of sending a letter in return, an agency representative offers to brief the legislator *personally* on the matter, on the condition that no staff members are present. This procedure puts the busy lawmaker at a marked disadvantage, since his staff is usually more familiar with the subject than he is--and probably wrote the original letter.

DISTRACTION SPECIALISTS

Allen Dulles set the tone for the CIA budget presentations in the 1950's when he commented to a few assistants preparing him for his annual appearance, "I'll just tell them a few war stories." A more current example of the CIA's evasive tactics occurred in 1966 when the Senate appropriations subcommittee was thought to have some hard questions to ask about the growing costs of technical espionage programs. DCI Helms responded to the senatorial interest by bringing with him the CIA's Deputy Director for Science and

Technology, Dr. Albert D. "Bud" Wheelon, who loaded himself up with a bag full of spy gadgets--a camera hidden in a tobacco pouch, a radio transmitter hidden in false teeth, a tape recorder in a cigarette case, and so on. This equipment did not even come from Wheelon's part of the agency but was manufactured by the Clandestine Services; if, however, the Senators wanted to talk about "technical" matters, Helms and his assistant were perfectly willing to distract them with James Bond-type equipment.

Wheelon started to discuss the technical collection programs but as he talked he let the Senators inspect the gadgets. Predictably, the discussion soon turned to the spy paraphernalia. One persistent Senator asked two questions about the new and expensive technical collection systems the CIA was then putting into operation, but Wheelon deftly turned the subject back to the gadgets. When the Senator asked his question a third time, Chairman Russell told him to hold his inquiry until the CIA men were finished. But the Senators became so enthralled with the equipment before them that no more questions were asked. Seven years later, the same panel would investigate the 1971 assistance furnished by the Clandestine Service to E. Howard Hunt and Gordon Liddy for the "plumbers" operations--assistance comprised of many of the same gadgets that amused the Senators in 1966.

WARMUP YIELDS \$100 MILLION

In 1967 the CIA, as usual, prepared its budget request with a dazzling collection of slides and pictures, emphasizing the agency's role in fighting Communism around the world and producing intelligence on the military threat posed by the Soviet Union and China. Also included in the "canned" briefing was a description of the CIA's technical collection expertise, its work with computers and other information-processing systems, and even its advanced techniques in printing--but, again, no "dirty tricks". The presentation was rehearsed several times at CIA headquarters while calls were awaited from Capitol Hill to set specific dates. A Congressman serving on the House appropriations oversight group was even invited to come out to the agency to see one of the dry runs. A few days later a staff man on the House panel

Please see CIA, next page

CIA, cont. from previous page

telephoned the CIA to say that the Congressman who had seen the rehearsal said that everything seemed in order and that the Chairman simply did not have the time to hear the presentation, but that the committee would approve the full budget request of nearly \$700 million anyway. Shortly thereafter a similar call came from the Senate committee. The chairman had apparently been told by his opposite number in the House that the CIA request seemed reasonable, and on the strength of the House recommenda-

tion the Senate would also approve the full amount without a hearing.

Thus, in 1967 the CIA did not even appear in front of its budgetary oversight committees. The experience that year was extreme, but it does illustrate how little congressional supervision the agency has been subject to over the years.

Many congressional critics of the CIA have advocated broadening the membership of the CIA oversight subcommittees to include legislators who will hold the agency up to the same sort of scrutiny that other government departments receive. They argue that in the equally sensitive field of atomic energy a joint congressional committee has kept close track of the Atomic Energy Commission without any breach in security. However, some liberals who advocate greater control of the CIA fear that a joint CIA committee analogous to the Joint Atomic Energy Committee might easily be "captured" by the agency, just as the atomic energy committee has, to a large extent, been co-opted by the AEC.

Those who oppose increased congressional control of the agency claim that if the CIA is to operate effectively, total secrecy must be maintained, and that expanding the functions and the membership of the oversight subcommittees would mean much greater likelihood of breaches in security. They fear that larger subcommittees would necessarily lead to the presence of administration opponents who might exploit agency secrets for political gains. Moreover, it is said that friendly foreign intelligence services would be reluctant to cooperate or share secrets with the agency if they knew that their activities would be revealed to the American Congress.

FLOOD OF CHANGE: WATERGATE

No matter what the merits of the arguments for close congressional control, there was no chance that a majority of either house would vote for any appreciable change until the Watergate affair broke wide open in early 1973. Suddenly the long-dormant oversight subcommittees began to meet frequently to investigate the degree of CIA involvement in the illegal activities sponsored by the White House and the Committee to Re-Elect the President. The obvious abuses of power by the administration and its supporters stirred even conservative legislators into demands for corrective action. And the administration, in trying to justify its excesses on the grounds of protecting the "national security"--a justification largely unacceptable to Congress--seriously weakened the position of those who claimed "national security" grounds. Furthermore, there was a widespread public and media outcry against concentration of power in the White House, and against President Nixon's penchant for taking unilateral actions without the approval or even the advice of Congress. The CIA, as the President's loyal tool--tainted to some extent by involvement in Watergate-related activities--also became vulnerable.

The four oversight subcommittees which met so frequently in the first six months of 1973 are still made up of the same overwhelmingly conservative members. But, pushed by either their own revulsion over Watergate or by public reaction to it, they seem likely to take some action to increase congressional surveillance of the CIA.

For example, John Stennis, the Senate Armed Services chairman, declared on July 20, 1973: "The experience of the CIA in Laos, as well as the more recent disclosures here at home have caused me to definitely conclude that the entire CIA act should be entirely reviewed." This is the same Stennis who, nineteen months earlier, when the CIA's "secret" war in Laos was at its peak stated:

The agency is conducted in a splendid way....As has been said, spying is spying. But if we are going to have an intelligence agency....it cannot be run as if you were running a tax collector's office or the HEW or some other such department. You have to make up your mind that you are going to have an intelligence

agency and protect it as such, and shut your eyes some and take what is coming.

Yet from all indications, Stennis had become sincerely convinced that the chief executive, on his own, should never again be able to take the country into a Vietnam-type conflict. [H: I hope this is more than just a big horrendous laugh to you in light of the subsequent activities of your President, Advisors, Fixed Congressmen, wars, conflicts, foreign disruptions of nations and appointment of his own criminal favorite to even head the CIA. You are going to stop this or you are going to be laughing out of the other side of your face for half your face will be blown away and the rest of your body incarcerated in those nice "homeless camps" now vacated and ready for your filling.] On October 18, 1973, he introduced legislation--while reserving his right to change it after study and hearings extending into 1974--which would modify the CIA's legal base. First, it would limit the agency's domestic activities to "those which are necessary and appropriate to its foreign intelligence mission", apparently defining this in a way to abolish covert activities in the United States. Second, it would set up tighter procedures for congressional oversight, while "recognizing essential security requirements".

A simple majority in either chamber would be sufficient to change the system of CIA oversight. As much as the agency wants to keep its activities secret, it would have little choice but to comply with serious congressional demands for more information and more supervision. The power of the purse gives the legislative branch the means to enforce its will on a reluctant CIA, and even one house standing alone could use this power as a control mechanism. That is, assuming that Congress is willing to accept the responsibility.

* * *

BUSH/CIA ANSWERS TO NO GOV'T AGENTS

We are going to interrupt the body of this writing now to point out a few obvious circumstances. If you have been keeping informed you will have no trouble with this information--if not, then you probably can still see the potential advantage in what I bring.

You must be aware that the CIA--through its newer connections and

Please see CIA, next page

STRAIGHT TALK WITH THE GREENS

George/Desiree' Green

Lecture at Berkeley, 3/24/91

\$20.00...3 hours.

The Greens discuss their involvement with extraterrestrials and their communication with Hatonn. This is an introductory lecture that covers all aspects of Earth man's transition.

George/Desiree' Green

Palo Alto, Ca. Seminar, 7/91

\$20.00...3 hours

George covers his background, and involvement with extraterrestrials. He gives facts on economic collapse and details on how you can protect yourself. Desiree' introduces some of the current Cosmic knowledge contained within the JOURNALS.

Introduction To The Greens
Questions and Answers

\$22.00...1-1/2 hours

Video #1

George gives information about his involvement with Billy Meier. Includes photographs of craft taken by Meier and portions of live footage of craft, plus more.

George's UFO Background,
Government Conspiracy And
Spiritual Lessons

\$22.00...1 1/2

Video #2

George discusses his history of ET involvement and UFO investigation. The Global economic/political conspiracy. Desiree' discusses The Law of One, Creation and our personal responsibility in our soul's progression.

To Order These

Video Tapes Contact

America West

1-800-729-4131

NEVADA CORPORATIONS

WHO SHOULD INCORPORATE?

Find out all the details and benefit of having your own corporation.

Contact:

Corporate Advisors
Corporation

2533 N. Carson St., Suite 645

Carson City, NV 89706

702-885-9638

CIA, cont. from previous page

total infiltration within the White House--answers actually to no-one in your government as you believe it to be structured and simply thumbs its nose at anyone who even questions its activities. George Bush treats your questions as not even worthy of reply--much less truth in reply.

How can the CIA work around these restrictions which, even though badly orchestrated, still have some potential merit? They have become operatives outside your government by simply utilizing funding, etc., where obvious and then, on the secret operations, setting up myriads of corporations which are funded by your money and added to by their massive drug trade and blackmail tactics to foreign states, selling and trading of arms, mercenary armies and wars. All proceeds are funnelled through false fronts and opaque blinds. Whoever crosses the path in defiance is taken out--such as Noriega, etc. The drug trade in Panama is thriving better than ever before and the prime receiver of the drugs are the people of the United States and the money goes to the Elite in your government and within the Elite of the One World Order.

I remind all readers who are within my working focus at this time--if you are only doing lip-service, I suggest you go of your own accord away from my "troops" or you shall be disclosed. You took the son of God and slew Him that you might later claim HIS blood for your salvation without worthy actions, deeds or belief as in Truth. You shall not longer hide behind the robes of the innocent in your greed to satisfy ego demands.

EGO INTENT ALWAYS WEARS A "SIGN"

I shall not utilize as recognizable information, that which comes from ones who are unwilling to study every portion I bring unto them. They may go their way without rancor but they shall no longer deceive my people. The mark upon a being is far more easily seen than is suspected by the one in point for ego intent is always required to wear a "sign". I shall no longer give hearing to those who would not follow my way for they are working for their own benefit and not for brother, nation or God. And remember--THE SINS OF THE FATHER ARE RESTED UPON THE SONS--EVERY TIME! ARE YOU WORTHY OF YOUR SONS AND DAUGHTERS?? PONDER IT

CAREFULLY.

If you offer something of your own writing for my consideration and for publication within MY JOURNALS AND PAPER--how know ye that it is not already published several times if you have read not all of my material? I assure you that this is what is happening time after time after time and confirmation is one thing, waste of time and space is quite another!

Do not simply give me that which is coming from a subcommittee hearing with your opinions appended--I am quite capable of monitoring all the junk and lies of a subcommittee hearing. This is NOT intelligence gathering, this is subterfuge.

This is not to say that ones may be allowed to overlook that information, just be sure YOU give it its proper relevance. It is far more important that man knows in his own location, what is coming down--from information gained by observance and leakage--i.e., the expected massive catastrophic earthquake in Southern California by September of this year--as projected BY THE ONES IN CHARGE OF IT WITH CONCURRENT TRAINING OF VARIOUS TEAMS TO HANDLE IT. I do not need a repeat of the nasties as such for you have been given enough proof of the take-over by the Elite of your government.

ROSS PEROT

If Ross Perot, for instance, is ill-informed--INFORM HIM, do not simply disagree with his platform--GO FORTH AND INFORM HIM OF TRUTH AND I SHALL BE MOST HAPPY TO MEET WITH HIM.

I do not, however, have any intentions whatsoever to produce miracles and/or magic as you expect for your confirmation and truth. God is based on far greater understanding than a light show of negligible confirmation. This transition is being thrust forth by the teams of God in purpose and intent and, like all projects and programs, there is a central place of headquarters--we do not hap-hazardly flit about nipping earlobes. Your planet is at destruction and your nation all but fallen--I shall not delay for your indecisions and quibbling to any extent for very much longer. If ones wish to WIN this game--you will come and find out your truth and you do that by studying that which is being given for your final instructions and information--HERE IT IS BEING GIVEN FORTH! God has no need to

meet your schedule nor your demands--remember, He is Creator--you the Created! Moreover, you "will not profit in His name" without His presence and you all seem to miss the fact of it in your eagerness to grasp the input of "some other MAN". GOD IS AT HAND WITH TRUTH AND DIRECTION AND THAT SIMPLY IS THE WAY IT IS. IF THE STYLE OF PRESENTATION IS NOT TO YOUR LIKING OR PREFERENCE THEN I HAVE NOTHING MORE TO OFFER UNTO YOU AND YOU SHALL SPEND A LONG, LONG TIME IN THE TRAINING BY YOUR ENEMY. MY PEOPLE ALREADY HAVE PASSAGE OFF THIS PLACE OF CONFUSION AND CHAOS--DO YOU????

Let us have a break and then we shall write about the cute games of the CIA and the press. You are going to open your eyes and ears one of these days and wish you had truly listened with hearing and seen with vision.

Hatonn to clear.

4/23/92 #2 HATONN THURSDAY

CONTROLLING THE CIA

Since we have already written so much in depth regarding the controlled media and press, I shall stay right with the subject of the relationship with the CIA and Press since the subject in point is the CIA and not specifically "Press" as a general subject.

Remember that herein large portions of this material are being related from documents published in 1974 and, at this time, because of other intent involved in not naming the author, we shall continue to refer to same as I.M. Anonymous (IMA). This is because much material originally in his documents were deleted by the CIA and through court actions he is prevented from ever publishing the deleted information. I have no wish to go about repeating information which is available to all if you but go forth and get the books in point; however, some documents are no longer allowed printing or publishing and the information is so valuable that it is necessary you have the foundation upon which to base current understanding.

The author in point is still very, very active as a patriot and when I can discern total security--I shall give great honor to this man because you-the public must know to whom you owe

freedom if, in fact, you can reclaim it. The intelligence cults, now integrated, are the enforcement arm of the Elite Globalists and will make up the controlling force utilized as the army of the United Nations One World Government. The CIA, for instance, IS the President's (U.S.) private army and government controlling force who now makes war, starts wars and gleans profits from those wars while utilizing taxpayer funds and bypassing government oversight.

As a reminder as to format: I am efforting to stay very close to total use of the document in point. My comments are in brackets ([H:]) while we are reproducing from the document. Please understand that while on the subject of the Intelligence Forces I have not used the document in point except wherein you will find deletions noted. You must know how these Secret Forces work or you haven't a prayer of overcoming your adversary.

CIA AND THE PRESS

In a recent interview (1974 publication), a nationally syndicated columnist with close ties to the CIA was asked how he would have reacted in 1961 if he had uncovered advance information that the agency was going to launch the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba. He replied somewhat wistfully, "The trouble with the establishment is that I would have gone to one of my friends in the government and he would have told me why I shouldn't write the story. And I probably wouldn't have written the story."

It was rather fitting that this columnist, when queried about exposing a CIA operation, should have put his answer in terms of the "establishment" (of which he is a recognized member), since much of what the American people have learned--or have not learned--about the agency has been filtered through an "old-boy network" of journalists friendly to the CIA. There have been exceptions but, by and large, the CIA has attempted to discourage, alter, and even suppress independent investigative inquiries into agency activities.

The CIA's principal technique for fending off the press has been to wrap itself in the mantle of "national security". Reporters have been extremely reluctant to write anything that might endanger an ongoing operation or, in Tom Wicker's words, "get an agent killed in Timbuktu". The CIA has, for its part, played upon

Please see CIA, next page

CIA, cont. from previous page

these completely understandable fears and used them as a club to convince newsmen that certain stories should never be written. And many reporters do not even have to be convinced, either because they already believe that the CIA's activities are not the kind of news that the public has a right to know or because in a particular case they approve of the agency's aims and methods.

For example, on September 23, 1970, syndicated columnist Charles Bartlett was handed, by a Washington-based official of ITT, an internal ITT report sent in by the company's two representatives in Chile, Hal Hendrix and Robert Berrellez. This eight-page document--marked PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL--said that the American Ambassador to Chile had received the "green light to move in the name of President Nixon....(with) maximum authority to do all possible--short of a Dominican Republic-type action--to keep Allende from taking power." It stated that the Chilean army, "has been assured full material and financial assistance by the U.S. military establishment," and that ITT had "pledged its financial support if needed" to the anti-Allende forces. The document also included a lengthy rundown of the political situation in Chile.

With the material for an expose in his hands, Bartlett did not launch an immediate investigation. Instead, he did exactly what ITT hoped he would do: he wrote a column about

the dangers of a "classic Communist-style assumption of power" in Chile. He did see some hope that "Chile will find a way to avert the inauguration of Salvador Allende," but thought there was little the United States could "profitably do" and that "Chilean politics should be left to the Chileans." He did not inform his readers that he had documentary evidence indicating that Chilean politics were being left to the CIA and ITT.

Asked why he did not write more, Bartlett replied in a 1973 telephone interview, "I was only interested in the political analysis. I didn't take seriously the Washington stuff--the description of machinations within the U.S. government. (The ITT men who wrote the report had not been in Washington; they had been in Chile.) Yet, by Bartlett's own admission, his September 28 column was based on the ITT report--in places, to the point of paraphrase. he wrote about several incidents occurring in Chile that he could not possibly have verified in Washington. Most reporters will not use material of this sort unless they can check it out with an independent source, so Bartlett was showing extraordinary faith in the reliability of his informants. But he used their material selectively--to write an anti-Allende scare piece, not to blow the whistle on the CIA and ITT.

An ITT official gave the same report to *Time's* Pentagon correspondent, John Mulliken. Mulliken covered neither the CIA nor Chile as part of his regular beat, and he sent the ITT document to *Time's* headquarters

in New York for possible action. As far as he knows, *Time* never followed up on the story. He attributes this to "bureaucratic stupidity--the system, not the people." He explains that *Time* had shortly before done a long article on Chile, and New York "didn't want to do any more."

Thus, the public did not learn what the U.S. government and ITT were up to in Chile until the spring of 1972 when columnist Jack Anderson published scores of ITT internal documents concerning Chile. Included in the Anderson papers, as one of the most important exhibits, was the very same document that had been given eighteen months earlier to Bartlett and *Time* magazine.

Jack Anderson is very much a maverick among Washington journalists, and he will write about nearly anything he learns--and can confirm--about the U.S. government and the CIA. With a few other notable exceptions, however, the great majority of the American press corps has tended to stay away from topics concerning the agency's operations. One of the reasons for this is that the CIA, being an extremely secretive organization, is a very hard beat to cover. Newsmen are denied access to its heavily guarded buildings, except in tightly controlled circumstances. No media outlet in the country has ever assigned a full-time correspondent to the agency, and very few report on its activities even on a part-time basis. Except in cases where the CIA wants to leak some information, almost all CIA personnel avoid any contact whatsoever with jour-

nalists. In fact, agency policy decrees that employees must inform their superiors immediately of any and all conversations with reporters, and the ordinary operator who has too many of these conversations tends to become suspect in the eyes of his co-workers.

BRITISH SECRECY ENVIED

For the general view in the CIA (as in some other parts of the federal government) is that the press is potentially an enemy force--albeit one that can be used with great success to serve the agency's purposes. Former Deputy Director for Intelligence Robert Amory was speaking for most of his colleagues when in a February 26, 1967, television interview he said that press disclosures of agency funding of the National Student Association and other private groups were "a commentary on the immaturity of our society". With the pronounced Anglophile bias and envy of Britain's Official Secrets Act so common among high CIA officials, he compared the situation to our "free motherland in England" where, if a similar situation comes up, "everybody shushes up in the interest of their national security and...what they think is the interest of the free world civilization."

Former CIA official William J. Barnds was even more critical of journalistic probes of the agency in a January 1969 article in the influential quarterly *Foreign Affairs*:

The disclosure of intelligence activities in the press in recent years is a clear national liability. These disclosures have created a public awareness that the U.S. government has, at least at times, resorted to covert operations in inappropriate situations, failed to maintain secrecy and failed to review ongoing operations adequately. The public revelations of those weaknesses, even though they are now partially corrected, hampers CIA (and the U.S. government) by limiting those willing to cooperate with it and its activities. As long as such disclosures remain in the public mind, any official effort to improve CIA's image is as likely to backfire as to succeed.

Barnds had been with the agency's Office of National Estimates until he joined the staff of the Council on Foreign Relations in the mid-1960's. In 1968 he was the secretary

Please see CIA, next page

FIND OUT WHAT'S REALLY GOING ON!

This audio tape set is an introduction to the Socioeconomic, Political, Geophysical and Spiritual transmissions/messages contained within THE PHOENIX JOURNALS. Some topics covered are: "New World Order", UFO cover-up, U.S. Constitution, AIDS, Spiritual Transition of Man and more.

GEORGE & DESIREE' GREEN AUDIO TAPE

\$12.00...(Plus Shipping)
Two-Tape set...3 hours

To order contact:
America West
800-729-4131

NETWORKING GROUPS

If you want to connect with others in your area who are also interested in this information, drop us a note giving us permission to release your name, address and phone number (optional).

Carol Keppler
13010 N. 48th
Scottsdale, AZ 85254
602-996-9753

Kip Capps
613 6th Garden Lakes
West Palm Beach, FL 33418
407-627-0827

Shari Smith
P.O. Box 424
Bethany, OK 73008-0424

Laurie Anteau
4188 Modoc Rd.
Santa Barbara, CA 93110
805-964-6136

William Mareska
3802 Washington Rd.
Martinez, GA 30907
404-863-7643

Grace Bonfilio
45 Rochester St.
Bradford, PA 16701
814-368-4526

Greg Sullivan
P.O. Box 48
Yucca Valley, CA 92286
619-364-4164

Mike Babcock
483 S. Kirkwood Suite 163
Kirkwood, MO 63122
314-343-1849

John tea' Lloyd
P.O. Box 791404
San Antonio, TX 78279
512-828-4844

Frontier Enterprises
Box 478
Lantzville, BC Canada
VOR2H0
1-6049786130X110

CIA, cont. from previous page

of the CFR session where Richard Bissell laid out his views on covert operations.

Barnds' admission that the CIA has certain weaknesses is unusual coming from a former (or present) agency official, but very few in the CIA would disagree with his statement that press stories about intelligence operations are a "national liability".

The CIA's concern about how to deal with reporters and how to use the press to best advantage dates back to the agency's beginnings. During the 1950's the agency was extremely wary of any formal relations with the media, and the standard answer to press inquiries was that the CIA "does not confirm or deny published reports."

To be sure, there was a CIA press office, but it was not a very important part of the agency's organization. To CIA insiders, its principal function seemed to be to clip newspaper articles about the CIA and to forward them to the interested component of the agency. The press office was largely bypassed by Director Allen Dulles and a few of his chief aides who maintained contact with certain influential reporters.

Dulles often met his "friends" of the press on a background basis and he and his Clandestine Services chief, Frank Wisner, were extremely interested in getting across to the American people the danger posed to the country by international Communism. They stressed the CIA's role in combating the communist threat and Dulles liked to brag, after the fact, about successful agency operations. The reporters who saw him were generally fascinated by his war stories of the intelligence trade. Wisner was particularly concerned with publicizing anti-Communist emigre groups (many of which

were subsidized or organized by the CIA) and he often encouraged reporters to write about their activities.

According to an ex-CIA official who worked closely with Wisner, the refugees from the "captive nations" were used by the CIA to give credence to the idea that the United States was truly interested in "rolling back the Iron Curtain". This same former CIA man recalls Dulles and Wisner frequently telling subordinates, in effect: "Try to do a better job in influencing the press through friendly intermediaries."

REPORTERS ARE UNOFFICIAL SPIES

Nevertheless, the agency's press relations during the Dulles era were generally low-keyed. Reporters were not inclined to write unfavorable or revealing stories about the CIA, and the agency, for its part, received a good deal of useful information from friendly newsmen. Reporters like Joseph Alsop, Drew Pearson, Harrison Salisbury, and scores of others regularly sat down with CIA experts to be debriefed after they returned from foreign travels. These newsmen in no way worked for the agency but they were glad to provide the incidental information that a traveler might have observed, such as the number of smokestacks on a factory or the intensity of traffic on a railroad line. The Washington bureau chief of a large newspaper remembers being asked, after he returned from Eastern Europe, "to fill in the little pieces which might fit into the jigsaw puzzle." This type of data was quite important to the intelligence analyst in the days before the technical espionage programs could supply the same information. The agency's Intelligence Directorate routinely conducted these debriefings of

reporters, as it does today. Selected newsmen, however, participated in a second kind of debriefing conducted by the Clandestine Services. In these the emphasis was on the personalities of the foreign officials encountered by the newsmen (as part of the unending probe for vulnerabilities) and the operation of the internal-security systems in the countries visited.

At the same time the CIA was debriefing newsmen, it was looking for possible recruits in the press corps or hoping to place a CIA operator under "deep cover" with a reputable media outlet. The identities of these bogus "reporters" were (and are) closely guarded secrets. As late as November 1973, according to Oswald Johnston's *Washington Star-News* report (confirmed by other papers), there were still about forty full-time reporters and free-lancers on the CIA payroll. Johnston reported that CIA Director Colby had decided to cut the "five full-time staff correspondents with general-circulation news organizations", but that the other thirty-five or so "stringers" and workers for trade publications would be retained. American correspondents often have much broader entree to foreign societies than do officials of the local American embassy, which provides most CIA operators with their cover, and the agency simply has been unable to resist the temptation to penetrate the press corps, although the major media outlets have almost all refused to cooperate with the CIA.

William Attwood, now (1974) publisher of *Newsday*, remembers vividly that when he was foreign editor of *Look* during the 1950's a CIA representative approached him and asked if *Look* needed a correspondent in New Delhi. The agency offered to supply the man for the job and pay his salary. Attwood turned the agency down.

Clifton Daniel, former managing editor of the *New York Times* and now that paper's Washington bureau chief, states that in the late 1950's "I was very surprised to learn that a correspondent of an obscure newspaper in an obscure part of the world was a CIA man. That bothered me." Daniel promptly checked the ranks of *Times* reporters for similar agency connections, but found "there did not seem to be any." He believes that one reason why the *Times* was clean was that "our people knew they would be fired" if they worked for the agency.

In 1955 Sam Jaffe applied for a job with CBS News. While he was waiting for his application to be pro-

cessed a CIA official whom Jaffe identifies as Jerry Rubins visited his house in California and told him, "If you are willing to work for us, you are going to Moscow," with CBS. Jaffe was flabbergasted, since he did not even know at that point if CBS would hire him, and he assumes that someone at CBS must have been in on the arrangement or otherwise the agency would never have known he had applied for work. Moreover, it would have been highly unusual to send a new young reporter to such an important overseas post. Rubins told Jaffe that the agency was "willing to release certain top-secret information to you in order that you try and obtain certain information for us." Jaffe refused and was later hired by CBS for a domestic assignment.


Before the CIA's successful armed invasion of Guatemala in 1954, a *Time* reporter dropped off the staff to participate, by his own admission, in the agency's paramilitary operations in that country. After the Guatemalan government had been overthrown, he returned to the *Time* offices in New York and asked for his old job back. According to another *Time* staffer, the managing editor asked the returned CIA man if he were still with the agency. The man said no. The managing editor asked, "If you were still really with the CIA and I asked you about it, what would you say?" The returned CIA man replied, "I'd have to say no." *Time* rehired him anyway.

CIA men have turned up as "reporters" in foreign countries for little-known publications which could not possibly afford to pay their salaries without agency assistance. Stanley Karnow, formerly the *Washington Post's* Asian correspondent, recalls, "I remember a guy who came to Korea with no visible means of support. He was supposed to be a correspondent for a small paper in New York. In a country where it takes years to build up acquaintances, he immediately had good contacts, and he dined with the CIA station chief. It was common knowledge he worked for the agency."

U-2 DOWNING STARTS "CREDIBILITY GAP"

The Dulles years ended with two disasters for the CIA that newspapers learned of in advance but refused to share fully with their readers. First came the shooting down of the U-2 spy

Please see CIA, next page



I AM SANANDA JESUS, ESU, IMMANUEL

SANANDA POSTER

This full color poster of Sananda was painted by artist, Randall B. Singleton. It is a life-like portrait masterfully created and a wonderful art piece that will add special meaning to your home, office, church or meeting room. The poster also makes an excellent gift for friends, family or co-workers.

Price \$15.00 (Plus \$3.50 Shipping)
Size 16" X 20"

CIA, cont. from previous page

plane over the Soviet Union in 1960. Chalmers Roberts, long the *Washington Post's* diplomatic correspondent, confirms in his book FIRST ROUGH DRAFT that he and "some other newsmen" knew about the U-2 flights in the late 1950's and "remained silent". Roberts explains, "Retrospectively, it seems a close question as to whether this was the right decision, but I think it probably was. We took the position that the national interest came before the story because we knew the United States very much needed to discover the secrets of Soviet missilery."

Most reporters at the time would have agreed with Richard Bissell that premature disclosure would have forced the Soviets "to take action". Yet Bissell admitted that "after five days" the Soviets were fully aware that the spy planes were overflying their country and that the secrecy maintained by the Soviet and American governments was an example "of two hostile governments collaborating to keep operations secret from the general public on both sides."

The whole U-2 incident may well have been a watershed event. For much of the American press and public it was the first indication that their government lied and it was the opening wedge in what would grow during the Vietnam years into the "credibility gap". But as the Eisenhower Administration came to an end, there was still a national consensus that the fight against Communism justified virtually any means. The press was very much a part of the consensus, and this did not start to crack until it became known that the CIA was organizing an armed invasion of Cuba.

Five months before the landing took place at the Bay of Pigs, the *Nation* published a secondhand account of the agency's efforts to train Cuban exiles for attacks against Cuba and called upon "all U.S. news media with correspondents in Guatemala", where the invaders were being trained, to check out the story. The *New York Times* responded on January 10, 1961, with an article describing the training, with U.S. assistance, of an anti-Castro force in Guatemala. At the end of the story, which mentioned neither the CIA nor a possible invasion, was a charge by the Cuban Foreign Minister that the U.S. government was preparing "mercenaries" in Guatemala and Florida for military action against Cuba. Turner Catledge, then the managing editor of the *Times*, de-

clared in his book MY LIFE AND THE TIMES: "I don't think that anyone who read the story would have doubted that something was in the wind, that the United States was deeply involved, or that the *New York Times* was onto the story."

As the date for the invasion approached, the *New Republic* obtained a comprehensive account of the preparations for the operation, but the liberal magazine's editor-in-chief, Gilbert Harrison, became wary of the security implications and submitted the article to President Kennedy for his advice. Kennedy asked that it not be printed and Harrison, a friend of the President, complied. At about the same time, *New York Times* reporter Tad Szulc uncovered nearly the complete story and the *Times* made preparations to carry it on April 7, 1961, under a four-column headline. But *Times* publisher Orvil Dryfoos and Washington bureau chief James Reston both objected to the article on national-security grounds and it was edited to eliminate all mention of CIA involvement or an "imminent" inva-

sion. The truncated story, which mentioned only that 5,000 to 6,000 Cubans were being trained in the United States and Central America "for the liberation of Cuba", no longer merited a banner headline was reduced to a single column on the front page. *Times* editor Clifton Daniel later explained that Dryfoos had ordered the story toned down "above all, out of concern for the safety of the men who were preparing to offer their lives on the beaches of Cuba."

* * *

Here seems to be a good place to take a break. Please ponder the last sentence. "...preparing to offer their lives on the beaches of Cuba." Shouldn't that give you cold chills? This was for the business elite and was a total CIA involvement for the President and his men--nothing at all to do with national-security or defense of your nation--or even a viable moral challenge. This is where you have come to, America, and it must be faced.

Hatonn to stand-by.

Seminars with George & Desiree Green

MAY 9 & 10

UFO Expo West
L. A. Calif.
213-850-8919

MAY 16

Sam Russell
Salt Palace
Salt Lake City, Utah
801-264-8250

MAY 29 - 31

Preparedness Expo
Las Vegas, Nv
801-561-8242

JUNE 20

Carol Keppler
Scottsdale Hilton
Scottsdale, AZ
602-996-9753

To sponsor a seminar in your area
contact: Sandy (805)-822-9655

YOU CAN SLAY THE DRAGON

By Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn
\$10.00...Trade Paper

The purpose of this JOURNAL is to inform you about the unlawful and unconstitutional 16th Amendment regarding Income Taxes. This information gives you the opportunity to determine whether or not you are subject to, or liable for any "Income Taxes" that are imposed upon you. You will also learn the history behind the unlawful and unconstitutional establishment of the Federal Reserve System and The Internal Revenue Service.

AN AMERICA WEST
PHOENIX JOURNAL
CALL TO ORDER
800-729-4131

THE PHOENIX LIBERATOR Subscription Rates

THE PHOENIX LIBERATOR is published by America West, 800-729-4131, P.O. Box 986 Tehachapi, CA. 93581. Subscription rates are: \$20 for 13 issues (US); \$22 (Canada/Mexico); \$30 (Foreign); or 26 issues for \$40 (US); \$44 (Canada/Mexico); \$60 (Foreign); or 52 issues for \$75 (US); \$80 (Canada/Mexico); \$110 (Foreign). **Includes back issues for current volume.**

Quantity Subscriptions: \$97.50 for 25 copies of 13 issues; \$135. for 50 copies of 13 issues (US); \$250 for 100 copies, of 13 issues (US); \$500 for 100 copies of 26 issues (US); or \$1,000 for 100 copies of 52 issues (US). UPS postpaid Continental US. Alaska, HI., Canada, Mexico and Foreign, call or write for shipping charges.

Back issues of THE PHOENIX LIBERATOR/EXPRESS. Minimum order 5-49 copies of one issue \$0.75 each Postpaid in the Continental U.S.A. 50 copies of one issue \$15.00 plus \$7.50 shipping. 100 copies of one issue \$30.00 plus \$11.75 shipping in the Continental U.S.A.

Alaska, Hawaii, Canada & Foreign orders please call or write for additional shipping charges.

Copyright Statement

COPYRIGHT 1992 by America West Publishers, Inc. Reproduction of this newspaper for private, non-profit use is expressly encouraged, as long as the content and integrity is not altered. For commercial purposes, reproduction is strictly forbidden until permission is granted in writing from America West Publishers.

PHOENIX LIBERATOR

BBS

(Computer Bulletin Board)

Effective immediately the Phoenix Liberator BBS is up and running. To download information to your computer simply dial (with modem) (805) 822-1309. The BBS is nonsubscription and functions at 2400 baud N-8-1. You'll find information regarding citizen's rights, state citizenship, Constitutional concerns and legal insights, conspiracies and so much more. Spread the word.

America West
P.O. Box 986
Tehachapi, CA 93581
1-800-729-4131